

# Contents

<b>Acknowledgments . . . . .</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>Preface . . . . .</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>About the Authors . . . . .</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>PART 1 Analytic Introduction . . . . .</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1 Socio-Technical Analysis of those Concerned with Emerging Technology, Engagement, and Governance . . . . .</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Kenneth David</i>	
In a nutshell: our audiences and our core objective . . . . .	4
Nano-benefits, nano-issues, nano-fears, and reactions . . . . .	5
Objectives of this volume . . . . .	16
Contending perspectives . . . . .	18
Roadmap to this volume . . . . .	21
Conclusion . . . . .	25
Endnotes . . . . .	28
References . . . . .	29
Internet references . . . . .	30
<b>PART 2 Looking Back to the Bio Debate . . . . .</b>	<b>31</b>
<b>2 Learning from Mistakes: Missteps in Public Acceptance Issues with GMOs . . . . .</b>	<b>33</b>
<i>Alan McHughen</i>	
Introduction . . . . .	34
Problems with terminology . . . . .	35
What is genetic modification/genetic engineering/biotechnology? . . . . .	36
History of biotechnology . . . . .	36

How is biotechnology (rDNA) used? . . . . .	38
Applications of biotechnology. . . . .	38
Red and green biotechnology . . . . .	39
Biotechnology has been compared to a train . . . . .	41
Risks: real and perceived . . . . .	42
Distinguishing perspectives . . . . .	45
Conclusion . . . . .	51
References . . . . .	53
<b>3      The Ethics of Agri-Food Biotechnology: How Can an Agricultural Technology be so Important? . . . . .</b>	<b>55</b>
<i>Jeffrey Burkhardt</i>	
Introduction . . . . .	56
The environmental ethics of agbiotech . . . . .	58
The safety of GM foods. . . . .	62
Ethics and choice . . . . .	64
Ethics and control. . . . .	69
Conclusion: whither nanotechnology ethics? . . . . .	74
References . . . . .	77
Internet references . . . . .	79
<b>4      A View from the Advocacy Community . . . . .</b>	<b>81</b>
<i>Margaret Mellon</i>	
Introduction . . . . .	81
Basics of the biotechnology debate. . . . .	82
Continuing controversy for agricultural and food applications . . . . .	83
Classifying nanotechnology risks. . . . .	84
Consequences if nanochemicals present special risks . . . . .	85
Three lessons . . . . .	86
<b>PART 3   Questioning the Analogy (From Bio to Nano) . . . . .</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>5      The Three Teachings of Biotechnology . . . . .</b>	<b>91</b>
<i>Mickey Gjerris</i>	
Introduction . . . . .	91
What are we talking about? . . . . .	93
If you do not agree with me you must be stupid! . . . . .	96
A one-sided dialogue. . . . .	98
Conclusions . . . . .	101
Endnotes . . . . .	102
References . . . . .	103
Internet references . . . . .	104

---

<b>6</b>	<b>From Bio to Nano: Learning the Lessons, Interrogating the Comparisons . . . . .</b>	<b>107</b>
	<i>Philip Macnaghten</i>	
	Introduction . . . . .	107
	Learning from the past . . . . .	108
	Learning from the present . . . . .	114
	Lessons for nanotechnologies . . . . .	119
	Endnotes . . . . .	120
	References . . . . .	121
<b>7</b>	<b>Nano and Bio: How are they Alike? How are they Different? . . . . .</b>	<b>125</b>
	<i>Paul B. Thompson</i>	
	Why nanotechnology may not be much like biotechnology . . . . .	127
	Hypothesis 1: food technologies are sensitive . . . . .	130
	Hypothesis 2: the naturalness thing . . . . .	132
	Hypothesis 3: playing God . . . . .	134
	Hypothesis 4: environmental release . . . . .	135
	Hypothesis 5: public educational efforts are inoculating nanotechnology against public opposition . . . . .	137
	Hypothesis 6: agrifood biotechnology was narrow, nanotechnology is broad . . . . .	138
	Hypothesis 7: no benefit to consumers . . . . .	141
	Hypothesis 8: lack of confidence in the regulatory system . . . . .	143
	Hypothesis 9: intellectual property rights . . . . .	146
	Hypothesis 10: changing relations of economic power Analysis . . . . .	148
	References . . . . .	150
	Internet references . . . . .	152
		155
<b>8</b>	<b>“It’s Like Déjà-Vu, All Over Again”: Anticipating Societal Responses to Nanotechnologies . . . . .</b>	<b>157</b>
	<i>Amy K. Wolfe and David J. Bjornstad</i>	
	Introduction . . . . .	158
	How many more times will we be “surprised” by societal responses? . . . . .	159
	Why is the same technology sometimes accepted and sometimes rejected in apparently similar circumstances? . . . . .	160
	To what extent can we accurately anticipate societal responses and acceptability? . . . . .	161
	How can, or should, society make better-informed decisions? . . . . .	161

Agricultural nanotechnologies—members of a class of technologies .....	162
Patterns of societal response can be anticipated.....	164
Suggesting a conceptual framework: PACT .....	165
Conclusion: a call for a convergent science of societal response .....	170
Endnotes.....	170
References.....	171
Internet references.....	172
<b>PART 4 Areas of Ambiguity in Implementing an Emerging Technology.....</b>	<b>173</b>
<b>9 A Framework for Translating Biotechnology Experiences to Nanotechnology.....</b>	<b>175</b>
<i>David Sparling</i>	
New technologies from discovery to market .....	176
From science to technology.....	177
Radical technologies and innovation .....	179
Innovation and agricultural biotechnology .....	180
New technologies and industry structure .....	183
Endnotes.....	187
References.....	187
<b>10 Engagement and Translation: Perspective of a Natural Scientist.....</b>	<b>189</b>
<i>Hans Geerlings and Kenneth David</i>	
Focus .....	192
Engagement .....	194
Translation issues .....	203
Discussion.....	217
Endnotes.....	218
References.....	218
Internet references.....	219
<b>11 Biotechnology, Nanotechnology, Media, and Public Opinion .....</b>	<b>221</b>
<i>Susanna Priest</i>	
Introduction .....	221
Problematizing the categories .....	223
Media and public opinion.....	225
Social constructions of “the public” .....	228
Discussion.....	231
Endnotes.....	232
References.....	233

---

<b>PART 5</b>	<b>Looking Forward to the Nano Situation . . . . .</b>	<b>235</b>
<b>12</b>	<b>Lessons from the Bio-Decade: A Social Scientific Perspective . . . . .</b>	<b>237</b>
	<i>George Gaskell</i>	
	Introduction . . . . .	237
	Understanding the process of innovation . . . . .	239
	Questioning sound science . . . . .	242
	Perspectives on risk . . . . .	245
	Menacing images and magical thinking . . . . .	248
	Uncertainty and anxiety . . . . .	249
	Weighing up gains and losses . . . . .	250
	Truth claims and communicating science . . . . .	253
	Changing science, changing societies . . . . .	256
	Implications for nanotechnology . . . . .	257
	References . . . . .	257
<b>13</b>	<b>What Can Nanotechnology Learn from Biotechnology? . . . . .</b>	<b>261</b>
	<i>Lawrence Busch and John R. Lloyd</i>	
	Introduction . . . . .	261
	Scientific innovation . . . . .	263
	The process of innovation of new products in biotechnology . . . . .	265
	Processes of variable regulation of biotechnology . . . . .	266
	Furor over bovine growth hormone . . . . .	267
	The major actors . . . . .	268
	Recent developments . . . . .	272
	Conclusions: lessons identified . . . . .	273
	Endnotes . . . . .	274
	References . . . . .	274
	Internet references . . . . .	276
	Appendix I A Primer on Genetic Engineering . . . . .	277
	Appendix II Report from the Standards for Nanotechnology Workshop . . . . .	285
	Appendix III List of Abbreviations . . . . .	321
	Appendix IV Participants at First International IFAS Conference on Nanotechnology “What Can Nano Learn from Bio?” . . . . .	323
	Appendix V Participants in the “Standards for Nanotechnology” Workshop, 2006 . . . . .	327
	Index . . . . .	330
	Series . . . . .	341