

# Contents

*Preface*

*Contributors*

- 1 Genetic Differences in Human Oral Perception:  
Advanced Methods Reveal Basic Problems in  
Intensity Scaling  
*Linda M. Bartoshuk, Katharine Fast, Derek J. Snyder,  
and Valerie B. Duffy*
  
- 2 Progress in Human Bitter Phenylthiocarbamide  
Genetics 43  
*Danielle R. Reed*
  
- 3 Assessment of Different Methods for 6-n-Propylthiouracil  
Status Classification 63  
*Krystyna M. Rankin, Nicolas Godinot, Carol M. Christensen,  
Beverly J. Tepper, and Sarah V. Kirkmeyer*
  
- 4 6-n-Propylthiouracil Tasting and the Perception of  
Nontaste Oral Sensations 89  
*John Prescott, Lindu M. Bartoshuk, and Jordan Prutkin*

5	Relationship of 6-n-Propylthiouracil Status to Bitterness Sensitivity Elba Cubero-Castillo and <i>Ann C. Noble</i>	105
6	A Current Perspective on Creaminess Perception and 6-n-Propylthiouracil Taster Status Sarah V. <i>Kirkmeyer</i> and Beverly J. <i>Tepper</i>	117
7	Genetic Basis for 6-n-Propylthiouracil Taster and Supertaster Status Determined Across Cultures Graham Bell and <i>Hae-Jin Song</i>	137
8	6-n-Propylthiouracil as a Genetic Taste Marker for Fat Intake, Obesity, and Chronic Disease Risk: Current Evidence and Future Promise Beverly J. <i>Tepper</i>	155
9	6-n-Propylthiouracil Sensitivity, Food Choices, and Food Consumption Adam <i>Drewnowski</i>	179
10	Genetic Variation in Taste: Potential Biomarker for Cardiovascular Disease Risk? Valerie B. <i>Duffy</i> , Laurie A. <i>Lucchina</i> , and Linda M. <i>Bartoshuk</i>	195
11	6-n-Propylthiouracil Taster Status: Dietary Modifier, Marker, or Misleader? Richard D. <i>Mattes</i>	229
		251