

Index

- 1,2,3-trichloropropane (TCP) solvent/
degreaser/water contaminant, 142–3
 about TCP, 142
 health effects, 142–3
- 1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane (DBCP)
 pesticide/water contaminant, 143–4
 about DBCP, 143
 applications, 143
 contamination problems, 143–4
 health effects, 144
- A**
- Aarhus Convention, 171
- Acid Brook, DuPont, 31
- Acid rain, from coal fired plants, 75–6
- Acutely hazardous wastes (RCRA
 definition), 3
- Adsorbable organic halides (AOXs), from
 paper/pulp industry, 106
- Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease
 Registry (ATSDR), 220, 253–4
 Minimal Risk Levels (MRLs), 253–4,
 365–78Ap
 Reference Concentration (RfC), 253
- Agent Orange:
 Dow liabilities with, 25–6
 pesticides case study, 130
- Agricultural waste, 172–3
 see also Pesticides
- Air quality guidelines (WHO), 254, 379Ap
- Alabama By-products Corp. (ABC) coke
 case study, 91–2
 about the coke plant, 91
 health cases, 92
 pollution and emissions, 91
 reported data, 91–2
 National Emissions Inventory (NEI),
 91–2
 Toxic Release Inventory (TRI), 91–2
- Alachlor herbicide/water contaminant,
 139–40
 about use as herbicide, 139
- EPA environmental assessment, 139
 health effects, 140
 Restricted Use Pesticide (RUP)
 classification, 139
- Aldicarb problems, 230
- American Chemical Society (ACS) and
 Green Chemistry, 280
- American Conference of Governmental
 Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH), 253
 *Documentation of the Threshold Limit
 Values*, 253
 Immediately Dangerous to Life and
 Health (IDLH) values, 355–63Ap
 Threshold Limit Values (TLVs), 253,
 341–54Ap
- American Medical Association (AMA), 8
- Aqueous organic treatment of waste, 158
- Asbestos, in construction waste, 9
- Atrazine herbicide/water contaminant,
 135–9
 about use as a herbicide, 135–6
 Atrazine Monitoring Program (AMP), 137
 contamination factors, 136
 health effects, 136–7
 birth defects, 137
 cancer effects, 137
 Syngenta data issues, 137–9
- B**
- Basel Action Network (BAN) report/
 briefing, 170, 181
- Basel Convention on the Control of
 Transboundary Movements of
 Hazardous Wastes and their
 Disposal, 173–4
 Basel Ban Decision, 174
- Baton Rouge Refinery: Cancer Alley,
 61–2
- Benzene, at Lake Charles, 66
- Bhopal, Dow/Union Carbide disaster, 2, 25,
 170–1
- Bioaccumulation, 202

- Bioconcentration, 202
- Biodegradation treatment, 273
- Biomagnification, 202
- Bisphenol A (BPA) in food, 228
 about (BPA), 228
 toxicity and health effects, 228–9
 NIHNTF review, 229
- Bisphenol A diglycidyl ether (BADGE), 218
- British Petroleum (BP) oil spill in Gulf of Mexico, 67–9
 about the incident, 67–8
 oil dispersants, 68–9
- C**
- Cancer Alley: Baton Rouge Refinery, 61–2
- Carbon adsorption treatment, 273
- Carbon monoxide, from paper/pulp industry, 105–6
- Categories and sources of hazardous waste, 7–9
 construction waste, 9
 electronic waste (EW), 9
 household hazardous waste (HHW) (Universal waste), 8
 industrial waste, 8
 medical waste, 8–9
 nuclear waste, 7–8
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 251
- Central Hazardous Materials Fund (CHMF), 19
- Cerro Copper facilities, Monsanto, 33–4
- Characteristic hazardous waste (RCRA definitions), 2
 corrosivity, 2
 ignitability, 2
 reactivity, 2
 toxicity, 2
- Chemical or electrolytic oxidation, 273
- Chemical industry, 23–43
 as a big waste generator, 15–16
 industry chemical matrix, 433–9Ap
 RCRA K-listed hazardous wastes, 36–43
see also Dow Chemical Company; DuPont; Monsanto Company
- Chemical oxidation treatment, 273
- Chemical oxygen demand (COD), paper/pulp industry, 108
- Chemical precipitation of metals treatment, 274
- Chemical reduction treatment, 274
- Chemical-specific and toxicity information, 407–31Ap
- Childhood toxin exposure, 237–41
 about children's susceptibility, 237–8
 in agricultural areas, 239
 air pollution and respiratory problems, 239–40
 breastfeeding, exposure during, 238–9
 organochlorine compounds, 239
 cancer links, 240–1
- China, e-waste to, 179
- Chlorinated dibenzodioxins (CDDs), from wood industry, 98
- Chlorinated dibenzofurans (CDFs), from wood industry, 98
- Chloroform, from paper/pulp industry, 107
- Chloropicrin, pesticide case study, 131
- Chlorpyrifos, Dow Chemical Company, 26
- Chromate copper arsenate (CCA), from wood industry, 98
- Citgo's spill in Lake Charles, 65–7
 about the event, 65–6
 consequences, 67
 settlement with Department of Justice and EPA, 67
 damage caused, 66–7
 benzene effects, 66
 hydrogen sulphide effects, 66
- Clean Air Act (CAA):
 and coal fired plants, 77–8
 and incineration, 159
- Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR), 227
- Clean Water Act (EPA) for steelmaking, 89
- Climate change, and coal fired plants, 77
- Coal-fired power plants, 73–9
 about coal fired plants, 73
 about coal firing, 74
 emissions:
 carbon dioxide, 74
 sulfur dioxide, 74
 VOCs, 74
 environmental health impacts, 75–7
 acid rain/acid deposition, 75–6
 climate change, 77
 mercury, 76–7
 EPA's attempts to reduce pollution, 78–9

- integrated gasification combined cycle (IGCC) systems, 79
- lawsuits/violation of the Clean Air Act (CAA), 77–8
- toxic emission reduction techniques, 79
 - activated carbon injection, 79
 - fabric (bag) filters, 79
 - Scrubbers, 79
 - selective catalytic reduction (SCR), 79
 - waste/solid waste quantities, 75
- Coke *see* Iron, steel and coke
- Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) *see* Superfund sites/legislation
- Conditionally exempt small-quantity (waste) generators (CESQGs), 12
 - EPA criteria, 12
- Construction waste, 9
- Convention on the Safe and Environmentally Sound Recycling of Ships (IMO), 173
- Corexit oil dispersant, for BP oil spill, 68
- Corrosivity criterion, 2
- Creosote/coal-tar creosote, in wood industry, 96–7
- Cyfluthrin case study, California, 133
- D**
- DBCP *see* 1,2-dibromo-3-chloropropane (DBCP) pesticide/water contaminant
- DDT case study, 129–30
- Deepwell injection *see* Underground (deepwell) injection waste disposal
- Definition of solid waste (DSW) problem, 264–6
- Department of Defense (DOD), 18–20
 - see also* Military (US) hazardous waste
- Department of Energy (DOE), 19–20
- Department of the Interior (DOI), 19
 - Central Hazardous Materials Fund (CHMF), 19
- Depleted Uranium (DU) in Iraq, 55
- Dioxins and dioxin-like compounds (DLCs), 203–5
 - about DLCs, 203–4
 - health effects, 204–5
 - tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD), 204–5
 - sources and exposure, 204
- Dioxins and furans:
 - at Saugnet. Monsanto, 33–4
 - from paper/pulp industry, 107
- Direct Iron Ore Smelting (DIOS) process (Japanese), 92
- Disposal *see* Treatment and disposal of hazardous waste
- Documentation of the Threshold Limit Values*, 253
- Dow Chemical Company, 23–7
 - about the Company, 23–6
 - Agent Orange liabilities, 25–6
 - associated Companies, 23–7
 - Bhopal, India Union Carbide disaster, 25
 - chlorpyrifos, 26
 - Dursban, advertising, 27
 - Plaquemine contamination, 16–17
 - Superfund sites, 24
 - Midland Michigan, 24
 - Rocky Flats, Colorado, 24
- DuPont, 27–32
 - about DuPont, 27–8
 - Edge Moor titanium oxide facility, 32
 - Ethyl Gasoline, 29–30
 - Investa sues DuPont, 32
 - Pompton Lakes, New Jersey, 31
 - public health issues, 28
 - Teflon (PFOA) dangers hidden, 31–2
 - tetraethyl lead (TEL), 28–31
 - Dursban, advertising issue, Dow, 27
- E**
- E-waste exports, 177–80
 - about the exports, 177–8
 - China's poverty and poison, 179
 - India's digital dump, 179–80
 - primary, secondary and tertiary hazards, 178
- Edge Moor titanium oxide facility, DuPont, 32
- Electrolytic oxidation treatment, 273
- Electronic waste (EW), 9
- Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-know Act, 171
- Emerging contaminants, 215–21

- Emerging contaminants (*Continued*)
- about emerging contaminants, 215–17
 - fire retardants, 218–19
 - future research, 220–1
 - lyophilicity, 216
 - odors, 219–20
 - pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs), 217–18
 - plasticizers, 218
 - pseudo-persistence, 216
 - surfactants, 218
- Endosulfan, pesticide case study, 131
- Environmental Integrity Group (EIG) study, 58
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA):
- about the EPA, 254–5
 - definitions, 1–4
 - GAO report on IRIS, 259–61
 - Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS), problems with, 258–61
 - OMB and the IRIS database, 259–61
 - Regional Screening Levels (RSLs), 195, 254–5, 381–405Ap
 - Sector Notebooks, 255
 - transparency proposals, 278–9
 - see also* Green Chemistry; History of hazardous waste regulations in US; Resources Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)
- Ethyl Gasoline, 29–30
- European Atomic Energy Community (EAEC), 116
- Excluded hazardous wastes (RCRA definition), 3–4
- Export of waste, 169–82
- Aarhus Convention, 171
 - about exporting waste, 169–70
 - agricultural waste, 172–3
 - Basel Action Network (BAN) report, 170
 - Bhopal, Union Carbide disaster, 170–1
 - e-waste exports, 177–80
 - Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-know Act, 171
 - Khian Sea barge toxic cargo, 171
 - OECD waste, 170
 - persistent organic pollutants (POPs), 172
 - Pollutant Release and Transfer Registers (PRTR), 171
 - recommendations and conclusions, 180–2
 - Basel Action Network (BAN) briefing, 181
 - shipbreaking, 173
 - Strategic Approach to International Chemicals Management organization, 173
- Export of waste, international law, 173–7
- Basel Convention on the Control of Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and their Disposal, 173–4
 - Basel Ban Decision, 174
 - compliance issues, 175
 - loophole for recycling, repair and reassembly, 175–7
 - Protocol to the London Convention on the Prevention of Marine Pollution, 174
 - regional agreements, 175–6
 - Rotterdam Convention on the Prior Informed Consent for Certain Hazardous Chemicals, 174
 - Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants, 175
- Exposure pathways, 185–9
- exposure processes, 187–9
 - dermal absorption, 188–9
 - ingestion, 188
 - inhalation, 188
 - primary exposure media, 186
 - release mechanisms, 187
 - typical exposure routes, 189
- Exposure quantification, 189–92
- biological monitoring, 190
 - exposure factors/calculations, 190–2
 - chemical intakes, 190–1
 - dermal exposure, 190, 192
 - EPA Exposure Factors Handbook, 190
 - inhalation exposure, 190, 192
 - point of contact approach, 189–90
 - see also* Risk assessment/estimating; Toxicity assessment
- Exxon Valdez oil spill, 64–5
- F**
- Federal criteria for regulation of waste generators, 11–13
 - Federal Hazardous Substances Act (FHSA), 4

- Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), 128
- Federal Mine Safety and Health Act, 251
- Federal Register, pesticide residues, 230
- Fire retardants, polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs), 218–19
- Food and Drug Administration (FDA):
mercury exposure, 227
pesticide residues, 230
- Food Quality Protection Act (FQPA),
pesticide residues, 230
- Food safety, 223–32
about food safety, 223–4
see also Bisphenol A (BPA) in food;
Mercury in food; Pesticides,
residues/health risks
- Furans, from paper/pulp industry, 107
- Future strategies, 269–82
new treatment technologies, 273–5
recycling of waste, 269–70, 272
see also Green Chemistry;
Reduction/minimization of waste
- G**
- Granulated activated carbon (GAC)
pesticide removal, 144–5
- Green Chemistry, 275–82
about Green Chemistry, 275
American Chemical Society education
materials, 280
Canada, lessons from, 278
economic impacts, 279–80
effect on consumers:
Federal Trade Commission guide, 280
'green' labeling, 280
Green Seal organization, 280
PVC free products, 281
EPA transparency/proposed legislation,
278–9
European Union, lessons from, 278
market drivers, 279–80
need for, 281–2
Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA)
problems, 276–7
twelve principles, 275–6
- H**
- Hanford nuclear production facility case
study, 123–4
- Hazardous Material Transportation Act
(HMTA), 7
- Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments
(HSWA), 5
- Hazardous waste definitions, 1–9
EPA/RCRA definitions, 1–4
see also Categories and sources of
hazardous waste
- Hazardous waste landfills (secure), 162–3
- Hazardous waste legislation inadequacies,
262–6
- Health care costs, 245–8
about pollution related costs, 245
corporate accountability to Medicare and
Medicaid, 245–6
Medicare Secondary Payer (MSP) Act,
245–6
Recovery Audit Contractor (RAC)
program, 246
Monsanto v US Government case study,
246–7
Stricker v. US litigation, 247
- Health and safety standards, 249–55
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease
Registry (ATSDR), 253–4
American Conference of Governmental
Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH), 253
Centers for Disease Control and
Prevention (CDC), 251
Environmental Protection Agency (EPA),
254–5
Occupational Safety and Health
Administration (OSHA), 249–51
World Health Organization (WHO), 254
see also National Institute for
Occupational Safety and Health
(NIOSH); Regulatory Agencies,
failures and inefficiencies
- Hexachlorobenzene (HCB), from wood
industry, 98
- High production volume chemicals (HPVCs)
data availability, 196–7
- High temperature organic destruction
treatment, 274
- History of hazardous waste regulations in
US, 4–7
HMTA Act, 7
RCRA Act, 4–5
Superfund legislation, 5–7

- Household hazardous waste (HHW)
(Universal waste), 8, 20
- Hudson river PCB bioaccumulation case study, 209
- Human exposure *see* Exposure pathways; Exposure quantification; Risk assessment/estimating; Toxicity assessment
- Hydrogen sulphide, at Lake Charles, 66
- I**
- Ignitability criterion, 2
- Immediately Dangerous to Life and Health (IDLH):
ACGIH, 355–63Ap
NIOSH, 252, 331–9Ap
OSHA, 250, 299–306Ap
- Incineration of waste, 158–9
neurotoxic metals release, 159
products of incomplete combustion (PICs), 159
public health concerns, 159
regulation under RCRA, 159
Clean Air Act, 159
NESHAP (EPA), 159
- India, e-waste to, 179–80
- Industrial waste, 8
- Industry chemical matrix, 433–9Ap
- Institute of Medicine (IOM) guidelines for mercury, 227–8
- Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) (EPA), problems with, 258–61
about EPA's IRIS, 258–9
EPA's nine steps nine new steps for improvement, 261
GAO scathing report, 259–61
Office of Management and Budget (OMB) reviews of assessments, 259–61
- International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), 116
- International Paper Facility case study, paper/pulp industry, 108–9
- Inuit population bioaccumulation of POPs case study, 209–10
- Investa sues DuPont, 32
- Iodine-131, radiation hazard, 121
- Iron, steel and coke, 83–92
about the production/processes, 83–4
cokemaking process, 84–5
harmful emissions, 84–5
products/by-products breakdown, 85
Direct Iron Ore Smelting (DIOS) process (Japanese), 92
human health impacts, 87–8
air emissions, 87
solid wastes, 88
wastewater, 87–8
ironmaking process, 85–6
particulate emissions from, 86
steelmaking, 86–7
blast oxygen furnace (BOF) procedure, 86
electric arc furnace (EAF) procedure, 86–7
see also Alabama By-products Corp. (ABC) coke case study
- Iron, steel and coke standards and regulations, 88–90
EPA Clean Water Act, 89
NESHAP, 88–9
OSHA for coke ovens, 89
RCRA, 89–90
- K**
- K-list *see* Resources Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)
- Kentucky Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Protection (KDNREP), 5
- Kettleman Hills Hazardous Waste Facility incidents, 164
- Khian Sea barge toxic cargo, 171
- Koppers wood tie treating facility, 99–100
- L**
- Lake Charles spill *see* Citgo's spill in Lake Charles
- Land disposal, 159–66
about land disposal, 159–60
human health effects, 164–6
birth weight, 165
congenital effects, 165
respiratory diseases, 165
social inequity, 166

- Kettleman Hills Hazardous Waste Facility incidents, 164
- leaching from landfills, 163
- liners for landfills, 163
- Love Canal incident, 160–1
- treatment, storage and disposal facility (TSDF), EPA/RCRA definitions:
hazardous waste landfills, 162–3
land treatment units, 162
surface impoundments, 161
waste piles, 161–2
- Large-quantity (waste) generators (LQGs), 12–13
- EPA criteria, 12
- Lasso *see* Alachlor herbicide/water contaminant
- Lead, in construction waste, 9
- Lifetime Health Advisories (LHAs), drinking water, 135
- Lipari Landfill, New Jersey, birth weight effects at, 165
- Listed hazardous waste *see* Resources Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)
- Louisiana, and hazardous waste, 13
- Love Canal waste site incident, 5–6, 160–1
- Lower explosive limits (LELs), 252
- M**
- Malathion, pesticide case study, 132
- Marine Shale Processors (MSP), sham recycling example, 264
- Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG), drinking water, 135
- Medical waste, 8–9
- American Medical Association (AMA), 8
- Medical Waste Tracking Act (MwTA), 8–9
- Medicare/Medicaid costs recovery *see* Health care costs
- Mercury in construction waste, 9
- Mercury in food, 224–8
- accumulation effects, 224
- environmental occurrences, 224
- fish and shellfish concentrations, 225
- limiting exposure in diets, 227–8
- Institute of Medicine (IOM) guidelines, 227–8
- methylmercury, 224, 225–6
- reference dose (RfD), 226
- regulation of exposure, 226–7
- Clean Air Mercury Rule (CAMR), 227
- FDA action, 227
- fish consumption advisories, 227
- Mercury Export Ban, 226
- methylmercury regulations, 227
- toxicity and health effects, 225–6
- Mercury from coal fired plants, 76–7
- Methyl isocyanate, at Bhopal disaster, 170–1
- Methyl tertiary butyl ether (MTBE) issues, 62
- Methylmercury in food, 224, 225–6
- reference dose (RfD), 226
- regulations, 227
- Metolachlor herbicide/water contaminant, 140–1
- about metolachlor as a herbicide, 140
- contamination concerns, 140–1
- 'Environmental Health Perspectives' study, 141
- health effects, 141
- safety measures, 140
- Military (US) hazardous waste, 49–55
- about US military waste, 49–50
- depleted uranium (DU) problems, 55
- domestic manufacturing and bases, 50–1
- DOD site clean up issues, 18–19, 50–1
- Rocky Mountain Arsenal (RMA), 50
- improper disposal in Iraq, 54–5
- see also* Ocean dumping of munitions by US
- Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA), 251
- Minimal Risk Levels (MRLs) (ATSDR), 253–4, 365–78Ap
- Minimization of waste *see* Reduction/minimization of waste
- Monsanto Company, 32–5, 42
- about Monsanto, 32–3
- lawsuit over PCB exposure case study, 246–7
- PCB legacy at Sauget, 33–4
- PCB plant at Anniston, 34–5, 42

N

- National Advisory Committee on Occupational Safety and Health (NACOSH), 257–8
 - National Biennial RCRA Hazardous Waste Reports, 13–15
 - worst 10 States, 13
 - National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP):
 - for incineration, 159
 - for iron and steel making, 88–9
 - National Emissions Inventory (NEI), ABC coke case study, 91–2
 - National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), 64
 - National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH), 251–2
 - Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 251
 - Federal Mine Safety and Health Act, 251
 - Immediately Dangerous to Life and Health (IDLH):
 - standards, 252
 - values, 331–9Ap
 - lower explosive limits (LELs), 252
 - Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA), 251
 - Occupational Safety and Health Act, 251
 - Permissible exposure limits (PELs), 252
 - Pocket Guide to Chemical Hazards*, 251
 - recommended exposure limits (RELs), 251, 307–30Ap
 - short-term exposure limits (STELs), 251
 - Standards Completion Program (SCP), 251
 - National Priorities List (NPL), 6
 - National Waste Minimization Program (EPA), 270
 - Priority Chemicals (PCs), 270, 271
 - New waste treatment technologies, 273–5
 - selected technologies, 273
 - NGO Platform on Shipbreaking, 173
 - Nitromusks, 217–18
 - Nitrous oxides, from paper/pulp industry, 105
 - Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) (US), 116
 - Nuclear waste, 7–8, 115–24
 - about nuclear waste, 115–16
 - regulation:
 - EU – European Atomic Energy Community (EAEC), 116
 - UN – International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), 116
 - UN – United Nations Atomic Energy Commission (UNAEC), 116
 - US – Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), 116
 - Nuclear waste hazards:
 - Hanford nuclear production facility case study, 123–4
 - human health, 120–1
 - iodine-131, 121
 - strontium-90, 121
 - tritium (H-3), 121
 - Rocky Flats case study, 121–2
 - Vermont Yankee nuclear power plant case study, 122–3
 - Nuclear waste types, sources and management, 116–20
 - high-level waste, 117–18
 - low-level waste, 117
 - management issues:
 - accident prevention, 119
 - emergency planning, 119
 - protection now and future, 119
 - risk reduction, 119
 - mill tailings, 118
 - radioactive elements, 116
 - storage, 119–20
 - world quantities, 118–19
 - Yucca Mountain question, 120
 - Numerical IDs, 12–13
- O**
- Occidental Chemical Corporation, 18
 - California plant, pesticide case study, 132–3
 - Occupational Safety and Health Act, 251
 - Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), 249–51
 - for coke ovens, 89
 - Immediately Dangerous to Life and Health (IDLH) standards, 250
 - values, 299–306Ap
 - outdated values not safe, 257–8
 - permissible exposure limits (PELs), 250, 285–95Ap

- time-weighted averages, 250, 297–8Ap
- Ocean dumping of munitions by US, 51–4
 - 1944–1970 timeframe, 51
 - disposal site Baker, 51–2
 - effects of dumping, 52–4
 - dredged munitions with clamshells, 52–3
 - on Grand Banks fishing grounds, 52
 - marine life impact, 53
 - nerve and mustard gas, 53
 - operation CHASE, 53–4
 - Operation Davy Jones Locker*, 54
 - radioactive waste with SS William Ralston, 54
 - pre-1944 timeframe, 51
 - World War I, 51
- Odor as a health issue, 219–20
 - ATSDR, 220
- OECD as a producer of waste, 170
- Office of Management and Budget (OMB)
 - and the IRIS database, 259–61
- Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP), 128
- Oil fields across America, 62–5
 - about oil fields, 62–3
 - Exxon Valdez oil spill, 64–5
 - Santa Barbara 1969 oil spill, 63–4
 - Santa Maria oil sumps, 63
- P**
- Paper and pulp industry, 103–12
 - about the industry, 103
 - associated laws/regulations, 107–8
 - for chemical oxygen demand (COD), 108
 - Cluster Rules, 107–8
 - Regional Haze Rule, 108
 - for TCDD and TCDF, 107
 - Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) program, 108
 - case study – International Paper Facility, 108–9
 - emission are from, 104
 - air pollutants, 104
 - liquid and solid pollutants, 104
 - processes, 104
 - principle chemicals emitted:
 - adsorbable organic halides (AOXs), 106
 - carbon monoxide, 105–6
 - chloroform, 107
 - dioxins and furans, 107
 - nitrous oxides, 105
 - particulate matter (PM), 105
 - sulfur oxides, 105
 - total reduced sulfur (TRS), 106
 - volatile organic compounds (VOCs), 106
 - waste mitigation guidance, 109–12
 - limited success programs, 112
- Pensky-Martens Closed-Cup Method for determining ignitability, 2
- Pentachlorophenol (PCP), from wood industry, 98
- Permissible exposure limits (PELs):
 - NIOSH, 252
 - OSHA, 250, 285–95Ap
 - time-weighted averages, 297–8Ap
- Persistent, bioaccumulative, and Toxic (PBT) chemicals, 202–3
 - about PBT chemicals, 203
 - bioaccumulation, 202
 - bioconcentration, 202
 - biomagnification, 202
- Persistent organic pollutants (POPs), 172, 203
 - Inuit population bioaccumulation case study, 209–10
- Pesticides, 127–45
 - EPA regulatory framework, 128–9
 - ecological risk assessment, 128–9
 - Experimental Use Permit (EUP), 129
 - Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), 128
 - Office of Pesticide Programs (OPP), 128
 - Pesticide Environmental Stewardship Program (PESP), 128
 - Pesticide Use Characterization* guidelines, 129
 - Regulatory Process, 128
- Pesticides, case studies:
 - Agent Orange, 130
 - TCDD, 130
 - chloropicrin, 131
 - DDT, 129–30
 - endosulfan, 131
 - malathion, 132
 - propargite, 132

- pesticides, in ground/surface/drinking water, 135–45
- 1,2,3-trichloropropane (TCP), 142–3
 - 1,2-dobromo-3-chloropropane, 143–4
 - alachlor, 139–40
 - atrazine, 135–9
 - EPA regulations, 135
 - Lifetime Health Advisories (LHAs), 135
 - Maximum Contaminant Level Goal (MCLG), 135
 - Safe Drinking Water Act, 135
 - metolachlor, 140–1
 - removal technology, granulated activated carbon (GAC), 144–5
- Pesticides, residues/health risks, 229–32
- about the residues, 229
 - 'Clean fifteen' fruit/vegetables, 231
 - 'Dirty Dozen' fruit/vegetables, 231
 - exposure and health risks, 231–2
 - Aldicarb problems, 232
 - variability effects, 231–2
 - washing, effectiveness of, 232
 - monitoring and surveillance, 229–30
 - Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 230
 - Federal Register, 230
 - Food and Drug Administration (FDA), 230
 - Food Quality Protection Act (FQPA), 230
 - Total Diet (market basket) Study, 230
 - US Departure of Agriculture (USDA), 230
 - see also* Dioxins...; Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs); Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs)
- Pesticides, worker exposure, 132–5
- about worker exposure, 132
 - California cyfluthrin incident, 133
 - DBCP worker case studies, 132–3
 - 1993 class-action lawsuit, 133
 - Costa Rican worker's lawsuit, 133
 - Occidental Chemical Plant, California, 132–3
 - farm worker children, 133–4
 - protecting worker safety, 134–5
 - EPA Worker Protection Standard, 134
 - Petroleum industry hazardous waste, 57–69
 - about pollution from, 57–8
 - Baton Rouge Refinery: Cancer Alley, 61–2
 - BP oil spill in Gulf of Mexico, 67–9
 - Citgo's spill in Lake Charles, 65–7
 - emissions, 58
 - Environmental Integrity Group (EIG) study, 58
 - risks to human health, 58
 - San Luis Obispo County Air Pollution Control District guidelines, 58
 - volatile organic compounds (VOCs), 58
 - MTBE issues, 62
 - refinery worker studies, 60–1
 - wastes:
 - EPA K-list, 59–60
 - sludges, 59–60
 - solid wastes, 59
 - see also* Oil fields across America
- PFOA (teflon) dangers hidden, DuPont, 31–2
- Pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs), 217–18
- nitromusks, 217–18
 - polycyclic musk fragrances, 217
 - triclosan, 217
- Plaquemine contamination, Dow, 16–17
- Plasticizers, 218
- bisphenol A (BPA), 218
 - bisphenol A diglycidyl ether (BADGE), 218
- Pollutant Release and Transfer Registers (PRTR), 171
- Poly-vinyl chloride (PVC):
- plant building stopped in Convent, 62
 - PVC free products, 281
 - bioplastics, 281
 - medical products, 281
 - supenzymes and catalysts, 281
 - windows, 281
 - see also* Green Chemistry
- polyAnniston PCB plant, Monsanto, 34–5, 42
- Danzel Ferguson study with fish, 35, 42
- Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs), 219
- Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), 205–7
- about PCBs, 205–6
 - Anniston plant, Monsanto, 34–5, 42
 - Danzel Ferguson study with fish, 35, 42

- health effects, 206–7
- Hudson river bioaccumulation case study, 209
 - regulation, 207
- Sauget, legacy, Monsanto, 33–4
- sources and exposure, 206
- Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), 60, 207–9
 - about PAHs, 207
 - from iron/steel/coke processes, 84
 - from wood industry, 97
 - health effects, 208–9
 - sources and exposure, 208
- Polycyclic musk fragrances, 217
- Priority Chemicals (PCs) for waste minimization, 270, 271
- Probable Responsible Parties (PRPs) problems, 261–2
- Products of incomplete combustion (PICs), 159
- Propargite, pesticide case study, 132
- Protocol to the London Convention on the Prevention of Marine Pollution, 174
- Pseudo-persistence in emerging contaminants, 216
- R**
- Recommended exposure limits (RELs) (NIOSH), 251, 307–30Ap
- Recovery of acids or bases treatment, 274
- Recovery Audit Contractor (RAC) program, 246
- Recycling of waste, 272
 - management method scale of use, 272
- Reduction/minimization of waste, 269–71
 - EPA National Waste Minimization Program, 270
 - Priority Chemicals (PCs), 270, 271
 - RCRA preferred method, 270–1
 - source reduction, 270–1
- Reference Concentration (RfC), 253
- Reference Dose (RfD), 226
- Refinery worker studies, 60–1
- Regional Haze Rule, paper/pulp industry, 108
- Regional Screening Levels (RSLs) (EPA), 195, 254–5, 381–405Ap
- Regulatory Agencies, failures and inefficiencies, 257–66
 - EPA's IRIS problems, 258–61
- Hazardous waste legislation inadequacies, 262–6
 - definition of solid waste (DSW) problem, 264–6
 - sham recycling (SR), 264
 - Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) problems, 262–3
- OSHA values outdated/not safe, 257–8
- Superfund liability/Probable Responsible Parties (PRPs), 261–2
- Regulatory history, US, 4–7
- Resources Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), 2
 - acutely hazardous wastes, 3
 - excluded hazardous waste, 3–4
 - F, K, P, and Ulists, 3
 - history, 4–5
 - Federal Hazardous Substances Act (FHSA), 4
 - Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments (HSWA), 5
 - iron/steelmaking, 89–90
- K-listed, 36–43
 - coking, 90
 - explosives, 42
 - inorganic chemicals, 40–1
 - inorganic pigments, 36
 - iron and steel, 90
 - organic chemicals, 36–40
 - pesticides, 41–2
 - petroleum industry waste, 59–60
 - wood preservation, 99
- listed hazardous waste, 3
- toxic listed wastes, 3
- waste minimization, 269–70
- Restricted Use Pesticide (RUP) classification, for alachlor, 139
- Risk assessment/estimating, 193–4
 - carcinogenic effects:
 - inhalation unit risk (IUR), 194
 - oral slope factor (SFO), 194
 - EPA Supplemental Guidance for Dermal Risk Assessment, 193
 - non-carcinogenic effects:
 - inhalation reference concentration (RfCi), 194
 - oral reference dose (RfDo), 193–4
 - uncertainties, 195–9

- Risk assessment/estimating (*Continued*)
 animal/human effects correlation, 197
 chemical interaction effects, 198
 chemical mixture effects, 198
 dose response uncertainties, 198
 HPVCs, data availability for, 196–7
- Risk-based regularity levels, 195
 EPA Regional Screening Levels (RSLs),
 195, 381–405Ap
 Target Risk, 195
- Rocky Flats case study, nuclear waste
 hazard, 121–2
- Rocky Mountain Arsenal (RMA) military
 site, 50
- Rotterdam Convention on the Prior
 Informed Consent for Certain
 Hazardous Chemicals, 174
- S**
- Safe Drinking Water Act, 135
- San Luis Obispo County Air Pollution
 Control District guidelines, 58
- Santa Barbara 1969 oil spill, 63–4
- Santa Maria oil sumps, 63
- Sauget toxic PCB legacy, Monsanto, 33–4
- Sector Notebooks (EPA), 255
- Secure landfills, 162–3
- Sham recycling (SR), 264
 Marine Shale Processors (MSP) example,
 264
- Shipbreaking, 173
 Convention on the Safe and
 Environmentally Sound Recycling of
 Ships (IMO), 173
 NGO Platform on Shipbreaking, 173
 Toxic Substance Control Act (US), 173
- Short-term exposure limits (STELs)
 (NIOSH), 251
- Sierra Club, and definition of solid waste
 problem, 262–6
- Small-quantity (waste) generators (SQGs),
 12–13
 EPA criteria, 12
- Solid waste, definition of solid waste (DSW)
 problem, 264–6
- Solutia Inc, Chocolate Bayou, Alvin
 pollution, 17–18
- Standards Completion Program (SCP), 251
- Steam stripping of organics treatment, 274
- Steel *see* Iron, steel and coke
- Stockholm Convention on Persistent
 Organic Pollutants, 175
- Strategic Approach to International
 Chemicals Management
 organization, 173
- Stricker v. US litigation, 247–8
- Strontium-90, radioactive effects, 121
- Sulfur dioxide, from coal fired plants, 74
- Sulfur oxides, from paper/pulp
 industry, 105
- Superfund sites/legislation, 5–7
 CERCLA Act, 6
 Dow Chemical Company, 24
 Love Canal waste site, 5–6
 National Priorities List (NPL), 6
 Probable Responsible Parties (PRPs)/
 liability determination problems,
 261–2
 Valley of Drums waste site, 5
- Surface impoundments of waste, 161
- Surfactants, 218
- T**
- Target Risk, 195
- TCDD, Agent Orange case study, 130
- TCP *see* 1,2,3-trichloropropane (TCP)
 solvent/degreaser/water contaminant
- Teflon (PFOA) dangers hidden, DuPont,
 31–2
- Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD),
 204–5
- Tetraethyl lead (TEL), DuPont, 28–31
 lead poisoning by, 29–31
- Texas, and hazardous waste, 13
- Threshold Limit Values (TLVs) (ACGIH),
 253, 341–54Ap
- Titanium oxide facility at Edge Moor,
 DuPont, 32
- Total Diet (market basket) Study, 230
- Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL)
 program, paper/pulp industry, 108
- Total reduced sulfur (TRS), from paper/pulp
 industry, 106
- Toxic listed wastes (RCRA definition), 3
- Toxic Release Inventory (TRI), ABC
 facility, 91–2

- Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA):
overview, 277
problems for Green Chemistry, 276–7
shippbreaking, 173
unreasonable proof needed, 262–3
- Toxicity assessment, 192–3
dose-response evaluation:
lowest-observed-adverse-effect-level (LOAEL), 193
no-observed-adverse-effect-level (NOAEL), 193
no-observed-effect-level (NOEL), 193
hazard identification, 192
sources for information, 195
toxicity and chemical-specific information, 407–31Ap
- Toxicity criterion, 2
Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure, 2
- Treatment and disposal of hazardous waste, 155–66
about treatment/disposal, 155
aqueous organic treatment, 158
incineration, 158–9
top 15 strategies (2007), 156
underground (deepwell) injection, 156–8
see also Land disposal
- Triclosan, 217
- Tritium (H-3), radiation hazard, 121
- U**
- Underground (deepwell) injection waste disposal, 156–8
about the system, 156–7
HSWA ban, 156
Underground Injection Control regulations (EPA) ban exemption, 156–7
problems with, 157–8
- Union Oil of California, and the Santa Barbara oil spill, 63–4
- United Nations Atomic Energy Commission (UNAEC), 116
- Universal (household) waste, 8
- US Departure of Agriculture (USDA), pesticide residues, 230
- US Geological Survey (USGS), and the Santa Barbara oil spill, 63–4
- V**
- Valley of Drums waste site, 5
- Venting of compressed gases treatment, 273
- Vermont Yankee nuclear power plant hazards case study, 122–3
- Volatile organic compounds (VOCs):
from coal fired plants, 74
from iron/steel/coke processes, 84
from paper/pulp industry, 106
from petroleum industry, 58
- W**
- Waste generators, the biggest, 11–20
chemical industry, 15–16
conditionally exempt small-quantity generators (CESQGs), 12
Department of Defense (DOD), 18–20
Department of Energy (DOE), 19–20
Department of the Interior (DOI), 19
Dow Chemical Company, 16–17
Federal criteria for regulation, 11–13
large-quantity generators (LQGs), 12–13
National Biennial RCRA Hazardous Waste Reports, 13–15
numerical IDs, 12–13
Occidental Chemical Corporation, 18
small-quantity generators (SQGs), 12–13
Solutia Inc, 17–18
unregulated household hazardous wastes (HHWs), 20
worst 10 Companies/Facilities, 14
worst 10 industries, 15
worst 10 States, 13
- Waste piles, 161–2
- Wet air oxidation treatment, 274
- Wood treatment industry, 95–101
about wood treatment/preservation, 95
best management/waste mitigation, 100–1
drip pad usage, 100
fugitive emission control, 100–1
retort cleaning, 101
retort door seal checks, 101
case study – Koppers tie treating facility, 99–100
emission sources:
from incinerated toxic sludge, 96
from kiln sticks, 96

Wood treatment industry (*Continued*)

- from seasoning, 96
- of gas waste, 96
- of liquid waste, 96
- of solid waste, 96
- principle chemicals emitted:
 - chlorinated dibenzodioxins (CDDs), 98
 - chlorinated dibenzofurans (CDFs), 98
 - chromate copper arsenate (CCA), 98
 - creosote/coal-tar creosote, 96–7
 - hexachlorobenzene (HCB), 98
 - pentachlorophenol (PCP), 98
 - polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), 97
 - RCRA K-listed hazardous wastes, 99
- Worker Protection Standard (EPA), 134
- World Health Organization (WHO), 254
- Air Quality Guidelines, 379Ap