

Index

NOTE: *f.* indicates a figure; *t.* indicates a table.

- Actiflo ballasted flocculation system, 133, 138f.
- Addison-Evans Water Treatment Plant
(Chesterfield County, Va.), 151
conversion from alum to ferric sulfate,
151–154
- Advanced oxidation processes (AOPs), and jar
tests, 40
- AEPA-1 AMCO Clear Styrenedivinybenzene,
70
- Aluminum sulfate (alum), 10
conversion from alum to ferric sulfate
(Chesterfield County, Va.), 151–154
effect of sequence of chemical addition on
coagulation by, 104, 104f.
making up stock solution from dry
chemical, 32–33
- Bacillus*, and pilot testing, 143
- Beer's Law, 94
- Biological filtration, 124–125
- Biologically active filters, 121
- Blanket clarifiers, 113, 113f.
- CACs. *See* Contact adsorption clarifiers
- Case studies
addition of ferric chloride to treatment
(Palm Beach County Water Utilities
Department, Fla.), 183–187
conversion from alum to ferric sulfate
(Chesterfield County, Va.), 151–154
jar test calibration, 155–156
NOM measurements for coagulation
control, 163–165
online monitoring in aid of Clackamas
River Water (Ore.) treatment plant
operations, 179–182
relationships between coagulation
parameters, 157–162
relative standard deviation in laser
turbidimeter analysis of real-time filter
performance, 175–177
streaming current monitors in
improvement of enhanced coagulation/
membrane filtration process (Kamloops,
B.C.), 167–170
streaming current monitor in detection of
ferric chloride feed failure (case study),
171–174
- Charts (jar test results)
bar graphs, 44–45, 45f.
error bars in, 44
logarithmic scales in, 44
percentage removal values in, 43–44
smoothing functions in, 44
topographs, 46–48, 47f.
trendlines in, 44
x-y (scatter plots), 45–46, 46f., 47f.
- Chloramines, and jar tests, 40
- Chlorine, and jar tests, 39
- Chlorine dioxide, and jar tests, 39–40
- Clackamas River Water, Ore., 179
online monitoring in aid of treatment plant
operations, 179–182
- Clari-DAF dissolved air flotation system, 133
- Clarification, 13f., 14
ballasted flocculation, 116
contact adsorption clarifiers (CACs),
115–116, 115f.
conventional sedimentation basins, 112
dissolved air flotation (DAF), 116–119,
118f., 119f.
flocculator/clarifiers, 112–113, 112f.
flotation concept, 110
high-rate processes, 112–119
inclined plate settlers, 115
pilot testing of, 135–139
plug flow, 110
pretreatment chemicals and effect on
sludge handling, 111
sedimentation concept, 110
short-circuiting in basins, 110–111
sludge blanket clarifiers, 113, 113f.
solids contact clarifiers, 112–113
Superpulsator clarifiers, 133, 137f.
tube settlers, 114–115, 114f.
- Coagulant aids, and jar tests, 49
- Coagulants
adsorption and charge neutralization, 11,
12f.

- adsorption and interparticle bridging, 11–12, 12f.
- aids, 36–37
- “as product” or “as ingredient” basis, 31
- chemistry of, 10–11
- destabilization mechanisms, 11–12, 12f.
- dosage of, and streaming current monitors, 88
- enmeshment in precipitates (sweep floc), 11, 12f.
- expressing concentrations (molar metal dosages), 31–32, 31t., 32f.
- liquid, 33–36
- making up stock solutions from dry chemicals, 32–33
- minimum solubility of, 10t., 11
- and pH, 10–11, 10t.
- type and strength of, and streaming current monitors, 90
- Coagulation, 13, 13f.
 - addition of ferric chloride to treatment (case study, Palm Beach County Water Utilities Department, Fla.), 183–187
 - Bull Creek jar test, 191–194
 - conversion from alum to ferric sulfate (Chesterfield County, Va.), 151–154
 - effect of sequence of chemical addition on alum coagulation, 104, 104f.
 - historical sketch, xiii
 - NOM measurements for control of, 163–165
 - pilot filters as online monitoring tools, 144–146
 - pilot testing of, 134–135
 - relationships between parameters, 157–162
 - streaming current monitor in detection of ferric chloride feed failure (case study), 171–174
 - See also* Enhanced coagulation
- Colloidal particles, 5
- Contact adsorption clarifiers (CACs), 115–116, 115f.
- Conventional treatment
 - coagulation chemistry in optimization of, 15
 - development of, xiii
- Cryptosporidium*, 65
 - importance of coagulation in removal of, 121–122
 - and pilot testing, 143
 - removal by biological filtration, 125
- DAF. *See* Dissolved air flotation
- DBPs. *See* Disinfection by-products
- D/DBPR. *See* Disinfectants and Disinfection By-products Rule
- Diatomaceous earth filtration (cake filtration), 121, 122
 - particle size range, 122f.
- Differential pressure sensors
 - in filtration monitoring, 64
 - in pilot testing, 141
- Direct filtration, 13
 - and desired floc characteristics, 102
 - filter index test, 50–51, 51f.
 - jar tests for, 50
- Disinfectants and Disinfection By-products Rule (D/DBPR)
 - on jar testing, 18
 - on NOM reduction, 7
- Disinfection by-products (DBPs), NOM as precursor for, 7
- Dissolved air flotation (DAF), 13, 116–119
 - Clari-DAF system, 133
 - and desired floc size, 102
 - jar tests for, 55–57, 55f.
 - pilot testing of, 137–139, 139f.
 - process train diagram, 118f.
 - treatment train with DAF step above filter bed, 119, 119f.
- Dissolved organic carbon (DOC), 7–8, 8t.
- Dissolved solids, 5
- Enhanced coagulation (EC)
 - in NOM reduction, 7
 - streaming current monitors in
 - improvement of enhanced coagulation/membrane filtration process (Kamloops, B.C.), 167–170
- FAs. *See* Fulvic acids
- Ferric chloride, 10
 - addition of (Palm Beach County Water Utilities Department, Fla.), 183–187
 - making up stock solution from dry chemical, 33
 - streaming current monitor in detection of feed failure (case study), 171–174
- Ferric sulfate, 11, 31
 - conversion from alum to ferric sulfate (Chesterfield County, Va.), 151–154
 - making up stock solution from dry chemical, 33
- Ferrous sulfate, 31
 - making up stock solution from dry chemical, 33

- Filtration, 13f., 14, 121–122
 adding coagulant chemical to filter influent, 127
 adding coagulant to backwash water, 127
 backwashing, 129
 biological, 124–125
 by biologically active filters, 121
 diatomaceous earth filtration (cake filtration), 121, 122f.
 diffusion in particle removal, 123–124, 124f.
 extended terminal subfluidization backwash, 127
 filter operation and management, 125–129
 filter resting, 127
 filter-to-waste, 128
 by granular activated carbon (GAC), 121, 122f.
 by granular media filters (depth filtration), 121
 historical sketch, xiii
 and importance of coagulation in *Giardia* and *Cryptosporidium* removal, 121–122
 interception in particle removal, 123
 managing filters during filter run, 128–129
 by membrane filters, 121, 122f.
 modified backwash techniques, 127–128
 monitoring head loss, 128
 particle breakthrough, 126, 126f.
 particle removal mechanisms, 123–125, 123f.
 particle size ranges for various filters, 121, 122f.
 pilot testing of, 139–140
 pretreatment for, 122
 and quality optimization, 129
 rate changes, 128–129
 relative standard deviation in laser turbidimeter analysis of real-time filter performance, 175–177
 returning filters to service after backwash, 125–127
 sedimentation in particle removal, 123
 by slow sand filters, 121, 122f.
 starting at low rate, 128
 variables in effectiveness of, 14
- Flocculation, 13, 13f., 101, 105
 Actiflo ballasted system, 133, 138f.
 baffling modification to address short-circuiting, 106–107, 107f.
 ballasted, 116
 ballasted, jar test for, 53–54
 ballasted, pilot testing of, 137, 138f.
 Bull Creek jar test, 191–194
 detention time attributes, 106–107
 and hydraulic mixing, 105, 106
 intensity (velocity gradient, G), 107–108
 and jar testing, 19
 and mechanical mixing, 105–106
 operational considerations, 106–109
 paddle-wheel flocculators, 106
 physical observation of floc, 109
 pilot testing of, 135, 135f.
 and preoxidant addition, 109
 specific goals, 102
 tapered, 107–108
 and velocity gradient, 102–103
 vertical turbine flocculators, 105–106
 and water quality variations, 108–109, 109f.
- Flocculator/clarifiers, 112–113, 112f.
- Flow rate
 in monitoring of filters, 146
 and particle counters, 82, 83, 87
- Formazin, 69, 70
- Fort Collins, Colo., baffling modification, 106–107, 107f.
- Fuller, George, xiii
- Fulvic acids (FAs), 6
- G. See Velocity gradient*
- Gas chromatography, 143
- Gas chromatography/mass spectroscopy, 143
- Geosmin, 143
- Giardia*
 importance of coagulation in removal of, 121–122
 and pilot testing, 143
- Glass fiber filters, and jar tests, 48
- Granular activated carbon (GAC), 121
 particle size range, 122f.
- Granular media filters (depth filtration), 14, 121
 pretreatment for, 122
- Hazen, Alan, xiii, 110
- Head loss, 146
- Humic acids (HAs), 6
- Humic substances (HSs), 6
- Inclined plate settlers, 115
- Jackson candle turbidimeter, 66
- Jar tests, 17–18
 and advanced oxidation processes (AOPs), 40

- Alameda County procedure, using pipette method, 195–215
 - analytical equipment, 26–27
 - for ballasted flocculation processes, 53–54
 - as bench-scale simulations of conventional treatment, 17
 - Bull Creek coagulation-flocculation test 191–194
 - calibration, 155–156
 - charts of results, 43–48
 - chemicals, 29–41
 - chemicals and points of application, 20, 23–24
 - and chloramines, 40
 - and chlorine, 39
 - and chlorine dioxide, 39–40
 - and coagulant aids, 36–37
 - of coagulant aids, 49
 - coagulant concentrations, 31–32, 31t., 32f.
 - and coagulants, 31–36
 - common parameters for assessing treatment performance, 21–22
 - cup holders, 28, 30f.
 - customizing, 18
 - data collection and documentation, 41, 42f.
 - defining study goals, 21–22
 - for direct filtration plants, 50–51, 51f.
 - for dissolved air flotation, 55–57, 55f.
 - equipment, 24f., 25, 25f.
 - and flocculation, 19
 - G* values for different jar-impeller combinations, 26, 27f., 28f., 29f.
 - of glass fiber filters, 48
 - impellers, 26
 - and initial mixing, 18–19, 19f.
 - jar types, 25–26
 - key parameters, 17
 - laboratory ware, 27
 - and liquid coagulants, 33–36, 33f.
 - of membrane filters, 48
 - micropipettes for addition of liquid coagulants, 33–34, 33f.
 - and miscellaneous chemicals, 40–41
 - and nonconventional treatment, 49–50
 - and oxidants and disinfectants, 39–40
 - and ozone, 40
 - percentage removal values, 43–44
 - pH control, 37–39
 - and plant detention times, 22–23
 - and potassium, 40
 - and preservatives, 40–41+
 - procedure, 41–43
 - of rapid-mix water, 48–49
 - reasons for, 17
 - and regulatory requirements, 18
 - relationships between coagulation parameters, 157–162
 - required information about treatment characteristics, 22
 - and sedimentation, 19–20, 20t., 21f.
 - and sedimentation basin overflow rate, 23
 - septa bars, 28, 30f.
 - and sodium permanganate, 40
 - for softening plants, 52–53
 - standard operating procedures, 43
 - stirrers, 26
 - stock solutions from dry chemical, 32–33
 - tools for simultaneous chemical addition, 28, 30f.
 - and treatment performance data, 24–25
 - and velocity gradient, 18, 19f., 22, 23
 - water bath, 26
 - of Whatman 40 filters, 48
- Kamloops, B.C., 167
- streaming current monitors in improvement of enhanced coagulation/membrane filtration process, 167–170
- Lime softening plants, jar tests for, 52–53
- Membrane filtration, 121, 122
- and coagulation, xiii, xiv
 - jar tests for, 48
 - particle size range, 122f.
 - in particulate/NOM removal, 16
 - streaming current monitors in improvement of enhanced coagulation/membrane filtration process (Kamloops, B.C.), 167–170
- MIB, 143
- Microfiltration, 16
- Microsand, 53–54
- Mixing, 13, 13f., 101
- initial (rapid, flash), 17, 18–19, 19f.
 - jar tests of rapid-mix water, 48–49
 - specific goals, 101–102
 - and velocity gradient, 102–103
 - See also* Rapid mix
- Molar metal dosages (with formula & factors), 31–32, 31t., 32f.
- MS-2, and pilot testing, 143
- Multiple barrier approach, 15

- Nanofiltration, 16
- Natural organic matter (NOM)
- adverse impacts on treatment processes, 7
 - characterization by specific UV absorbance, 8, 8t.
 - and coagulation, xiii–xiv
 - and color, 7
 - humic substances (HSs), 6
 - measurement of, for coagulation control, 163–165
 - measurement of, in raw water monitoring, 61
 - need for removal of, 1–2, 7–8
 - nonhumic substances (nHSs), 6
 - as precursor for DBPs, 7
 - origin and composition of, 6
 - regulatory requirements for removal of, 4t., 7–8
 - removal processes, 12–14, 13f.
 - and taste and odor, 7
- Nephelometers, 66–67, 67f.
- Nephelometric turbidity units (ntu), 4
- Nonconventional treatment, and jar tests, 49–50
- Nonhumic substances (nHSs), 6
- Online Monitoring for Drinking Water Utilities*, 59
- Online sensors, 59
- in aid of of Clackamas River Water (Ore.) treatment plant operations, 179–182
 - in clarification process monitoring, 63
 - in coagulation process control, 61–63
 - in filtration monitoring, 63–64
 - in raw water monitoring, 59–61
 - types and applications, 59, 60t.
- See also* Particle counters; pH monitoring (online); Streaming current monitors; Total organic carbon analyzers; Turbidimeters; Ultraviolet absorbance/transmittance analyzers
- Ozone, and jar tests, 40
- Palm Beach County Water Utilities Department, Water Treatment Plant 8 (West Palm Beach, Fla.), 183
- addition of ferric chloride to treatment, 183–187
- Particle counters, 80, 87–88
- appropriate and inappropriate samples, 82–83
 - calibration, 84
 - calibration suspensions, 81
 - in clarification process monitoring, 63
 - cleaning, 83
 - and coincidence (overconcentration), 81
 - complementarity with turbidimeters, 87
 - correct application of, 82–84
 - counting and sizing principles, 81–82
 - cutoff for smallest particle size, 81–82
 - data management, 84, 85f.
 - and filter performance, 84–86
 - and filter troubleshooting, 86
 - in filtration monitoring, 63–64
 - and floc breakage, 87
 - and flow rate, 82, 83, 87
 - inability to identify particle type, 87
 - interaction of orifice size and flow rate, 87
 - lack of standardization, 87
 - light obscuration type, 80
 - limitations of, 87
 - and log removal calculations, 86
 - performance verification, 84
 - in pilot testing, 141
 - in raw water monitoring, 60–61
 - resolution, 81
 - sample lines, 83
 - sample points, 83
 - and Standard Method 2560, 87
 - theory of operation (light obscuration type), 80–84, 81f.
- Particle counting, 5
- Particles, 2
- biological, 2
 - colloidal stability, 9
 - destabilization, 9
 - inorganic, 2, 3
 - ionization of surface functional groups, 9
 - isomorphic replacement, 9
 - lattice imperfection, 9
 - need for removal of, 1–2, 3
 - organic, 2, 3
 - origin and composition of, 2–3
 - oxidation to change surface properties of, 9–10
 - quantification of, 4–5
 - reactions between surface functional groups and other solutes in water, 9
 - regulatory requirements for removal of, 3, 4t.
 - removal processes, 12–14, 13f.
 - size of, 5, 6f.
 - surface properties and electrical charge, 9
- Partnership for Safe Water, xiii

- pH
- and coagulants, 10–11
 - control of, 37–39
 - lime in control of, 38, 49
 - measurement of, in clarification process monitoring, 63
 - measurement of, in coagulation process control, 62
 - measurement of, in filtration monitoring, 64
 - measurement of, in raw water monitoring, 61, 62
 - of minimum solubility, 10–11, 10t.
 - monitoring in pilot testing, 141
 - of raw water, and streaming current monitors, 89
 - sodium hydroxide in control of, 38–39
- pH monitoring (online), 96
- calibration and maintenance, 97–98
 - and coagulation process control, 97
 - and diurnal patterns of algae growth, 97
 - factors affecting operation, 97
 - and source water quality changes, 97
 - theory of operation, 96–97
- Pilot testing, 131–132
- addition of coagulation chemicals, 134–135
 - of ballasted flocculation, 137, 138f.
 - of clarification, 135–139
 - of coagulation, 134–135
 - of conventional gravity sedimentation, 136
 - creating worst-case conditions, 142–143
 - and differential pressure monitors, 141
 - of dissolved air flotation, 137–139, 139f.
 - economic goals, 132
 - evaluation criteria, 144, 145t.
 - evaluation of results, 143–146
 - of filtration, 139–140
 - of flocculation, 135, 135f.
 - and flow control, 141
 - hydraulic similitude, 135
 - instrumentation, 140–142, 140f.
 - involving utility personnel, 132
 - length of testing, 134
 - microbial spiking, 143
 - mixing intensity and time for coagulation chemicals, 135
 - mixing time and energy for flocculation chemicals, 135
 - for new technologies, 132
 - for optimization of existing processes, 132
 - and particle counters, 141
 - and pH monitoring, 141
 - quality control, 142
 - relation of costs to treatment plant construction costs, 131
 - scale of flows, 133
 - of solids contact clarifiers, 136–137, 137f.
 - streaming current monitor in detection of ferric chloride feed failure (case study), 171–174
 - and streaming current monitors, 142
 - taste and odor spiking, 143
 - of tube or plate settlers, 136
 - and turbidimeters, 140–141
 - turbidity spiking, 143
 - water quality goals, 132, 133t.
 - and zeta meters, 142
- Plate settlers, 115
- pilot testing of, 136
- Plug flow, 110
- Polyaluminum chloride (PACl), 10, 31, 33
- Polymeric organic coagulants, 31, 33
- Polymers
- as coagulant aids, 36
 - preparation for jar testing, 36–37
- Polystyrene latex (PSL) particles, 81
- Potassium, and jar tests, 40
- Procedures Manual for Selection of Coagulant, Filtration, and Sludge Conditioning Aids in Water Treatment*, 36
- Process control strategies, 15
- Rapid mix, 103
- effect of rapid-mixing time on settled turbidity, 104, 105f.
 - effect of sequence of chemical addition on alum coagulation, 104, 104f.
 - jar tests of water from, 48–49
 - operational considerations, 103–104
- Reverse osmosis, 16
- SDVB, 70
- Secchi disks, 66
- Sedimentation, 13f., 14
- and jar testing, 19–20, 20t., 21f., 23
 - pilot testing of, 136
- Slow sand filters, xiii, 121, 122
- particle size range, 122f.
- Sludge blanket clarifiers, 113, 113f.
- Sodium permanganate, and jar tests, 40
- Solids contact clarifiers, 112–113
- pilot testing of, 136–137, 137f.
- Specific UV absorbance (SUVA), in characterization of NOM, 8

- StablCal, 70
- Standard Method 2560, 87
- Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*, 64–65, 96
- Streaming current monitors, 88
 - bench-top units, 90
 - calibration, 90
 - and coagulant dosage, 88
 - and coagulant type and strength, 90
 - in coagulation process control, 62–63
 - in detection of ferric chloride feed failure (case study), 171–174
 - factors affecting operation of, 88–90
 - in improvement of enhanced coagulation/membrane filtration process (Kamloops, B.C.), 167–170
 - location of sampling point, 89–90
 - maintenance, 90
 - in pilot testing, 142
 - pistons, 88
 - in raw water monitoring, 61
 - and raw water pH, 89
 - set-point determination, 90
 - theory of operation, 88, 89f.
- Superpulsator clarifiers, 133, 137f.
- Surface Water Treatment Rule (SWTR), xiii
 - on multiple barrier approach, 15
- Suspended particles, 5
- Suspended solids, 5
 - measurement of, 5
- SUVA. *See* Specific UV absorbance
- Sweep floc, 11
- Systems approach, 15
- Total organic carbon (TOC)
 - measurement of, in coagulation process control, 62
 - measurement of, in filtration monitoring, 64
 - measurement of, in raw water monitoring, 61, 62
- Total organic carbon analyzers, 90–91, 91f.
 - calibration, 93
 - factors affecting operation, 92–93
 - high-temperature combustion, 92
 - location of, 92–93
 - low-temperature UV-persulfate oxidation, 92
 - maintenance, 93
 - theory of operation, 91–92, 92f.
- Treatment train, 12–14, 13f.
- Trihalomethanes (THMs), and coagulation/filtration, xiv
- Tube settlers, 114–115, 114f.
 - pilot testing of, 136
- Turbidimeters, 64–65, 78–79
 - appropriate applications for different types, 78, 80t.
 - basic design, 67, 67f.
 - calibration, 70, 77
 - calibration verification, 77
 - in clarification process monitoring, 63
 - cleanliness of sample cells (laboratory turbidimeters, 74–76
 - and color or particle absorbance, 75–76
 - comparability of laboratory and online instruments, 77–78
 - complementarity with particle counters, 87
 - components, 68–69
 - and condensation, 75
 - current designs, 68
 - data collection and transmission methods, 77
 - and entrained air in samples, 75
 - environmental conditions and instrument designs, 78
 - EPA and ISO criteria, 73
 - in filtration monitoring, 63–64
 - FNU type, 67–68
 - and infrared light, 75
 - in-situ, 70, 71–73, 72f.
 - instrument selection, 77
 - for laboratory use, 73–77, 74f.
 - laser-based, 68
 - laser-based, and relative standard deviation in analysis of real-time filter performance, 175–177
 - location of, 77, 78
 - maintenance, 77, 78
 - measurement cells, 69
 - mtu type, 68
 - and nephelometry, 66–67
 - ntu type, 67
 - optimization of laboratory turbidimeters, 76–77
 - in pilot testing, 140–141
 - portable, 73, 73f.
 - ratio technique (correcting for color and particle absorbance), 75–76, 75f.
 - in raw water monitoring, 60
 - sample line for filter effluent, 77
 - sidestream, 70–71, 72–73, 72f.
 - sidestream analysis (best practices), 77

- theory of operation, 67–69
- troubleshooting, 78, 79t.
- and turbidity standards, 69–70

Turbidity

- cause and effects of, 65
- and conventional treatment, xiii
- defined, 64–65
- as key filter performance indicator, 146
- measurement of, 4–5, 66. See also
 - Turbidimeters
- as regulatory parameter, 65
- standards, 69–70

Ultrafiltration, 16

Ultraviolet absorbance/transmittance

- analyzers, 93–94
- and Beer's Law, 94
- calibration, 95
- factors affecting operation, 95
- fouling, 95
- maintenance, 95
- and prefiltration, 95
- single-beam type, 94–95, 94f.
- theory of operation, 94–95

UV absorbance

- measurement of, in coagulation process
 - control, 62
- measurement of, in filtration monitoring, 64
- measurement of, in raw water monitoring, 61, 62

Velocity gradient (G), 102–103

- for different jar-impeller combinations, 26, 27f., 28f., 29f.
- and flocculation, 107–108
- and jar tests, 18, 19f., 22, 23
- and mixing, 102–103, 108
- and temperature, 103, 103t.
- values for different jar-impeller combinations, 26, 27f., 28f., 29f.
- and viscosity, 102, 103

Viruses, and conventional treatment, xiii

Whatman 40 filters, and jar tests, 48

Winston-Salem/Forsyth County City/County

- Utilities (Winston-Salem, N.C.), 157
- relationships between coagulation parameters, 157–162

Zeta meters, in pilot testing, 142