

Index

- 2-alternative forced choice (2-AFC)
 - test, 83–5
- 3-alternative forced choice (3-AFC)
 - test, 85–7
- α risk, 25, 70, 91, 92, 93, 153–4
- β risk, 25, 91, 92, 93
- 'A' 'not A' test, 80–83, 176
- absolute scale, 99
- acceptance tests, 129–34
- accuracy, 62, 102–3
- action standards, 13–14
- adaptation, 9
- affective/consumer tests, 118–19
 - general considerations, 119–20
 - qualitative methods, 123
 - focus groups, 123–6
 - quantitative methods, 127
 - acceptance tests, 129–34
 - attribute diagnostics, 134–6
 - preference tests, 127–9
 - questionnaire design, 120
 - coding, 122
 - dos and don'ts, 123
 - layout, 121
 - pilot, 122
 - question, type of, 121
 - research objectives, 120
 - type of, 121
 - wording, 122
 - training for, 61
 - see also* subjective tests
- agreement scales, 135
- air freshener, 1, 113
- air handling, 45
- allergens, 36
- alpha risk, *see* α risk
- American society for testing and materials (ASTM) standard, 67
- analysis of variance (ANOVA), 16
 - calculation, 153
 - designs, 152–3
 - interpretation, 153–5
 - output for hardness, 168
 - purpose, 151
 - variation, sources of, 151–2
- anchors, 100, 160
- apple, 6, 14, 52, 70, 99
- aroma, 5, 6, 53, 58, 59, 68, 110
 - see also* odour
- assessment area, 43, 44, 46–7
 - see also* booths
- assessment protocol, 36, 37, 98–9, 101
- assessor–sample interaction plots
 - for hardness, 169, 172
 - for rate of melting, 169
- assessors, 16, 54, 152
 - for discrimination tests, 60
 - affective tests, 61
 - descriptive tests, 60–61
 - dos and don'ts, 63
 - good working practices for, 62
 - internal vs. external assessors/panels, 55–6
 - monitoring panel performance, 62–3
 - motivation, 61
 - feedback, 61
 - group activities, 61–2
 - personal contact, 61
 - remuneration, 62
 - protection, 31
 - recruitment
 - advertisement, 54–5
 - direct recruitment, 55
 - word of mouth/recommendation, 55
 - screening and selection, 56
 - naive assessors, 56–7
 - trained panel, 57–60
 - selection, 33, 97
 - training, 60, 97
 - affective tests, 61
 - descriptive tests, 60–61
 - discrimination tests, 60
- attribute-specific tests, 83
 - 3-alternative forced choice, 85–7
 - paired comparison (2-AFC), 83–5
 - ranking test, 87–91
- attribute(s)
 - agreement on, 98
 - diagnostic data, 134–6
 - dumping, 8
 - generation, 97–8
 - intensity, 9, 135, 136

- attribute(s) (*continued*)
 - list, 164
 - order of assessment, 111
- audition, 6
- balanced incomplete block (BIB)
 - design, 17
- balanced reference technique, 71
- balanced test design, 15
- baseline sample, *see* blank sample
- beta risk, *see* β risk
- beverages, 50, 51, 53, 58, 143, 145
- binomial tests, 21
- bipolar scale, 100
- blank sample, 15
- block designs, 16
- blocks, 16
- booths, 43, 46, 47, 166
 - see also* assessment area
- bread, 11, 51
- budget, 12
- carrier, 51, 98
- carry-over effects, 9
- category-ratio scale, 160–61
 - see also* labelled magnitude scale (LMS)
- category-specific scale, 99
- central tendency, 23–4
- central tendency error, 9
- chemesthesis, 5
- chewing gum, 98
- chilli, 98
- chi-squared test, 21, 77, 81
- cleaning, 39
- closed questions, 121
- cluster analysis, 137
- coding, 51–2, 122
- coefficient of variation (CV), 102, 104
- coffee, 1, 50, 113
- colour blindness tests, 58
- comparative/simultaneous designs, 17
- complete block design, 16
- completely randomised design (CRD), 16
- computerised systems, for data
 - capture, 64
- confidence intervals, 24, 106, 107
- conjoint analysis, 137
- consensus profiling, 110
- constant reference technique, 71
- consumer tests, 2, 3, 12, 50, 51, 53, 66, 118, 119
- consumers, 57
- continuous time intensity, 116–17
- contrast and convergence effects, 8
- Control of Substances Hazardous to Health (COSHH) Regulations
 - 2002, 38
- control samples, 14–15
- copy writing, 40
- costs, 41, 64
- crackers, 51, 98, 99
- critical value, 25–6
- critical values table
 - for chi-square for degrees of freedom, 156
 - for duo-trio test and paired comparison test, 149–50
 - for Friedman test, 159
 - for paired comparison and paired difference test, 157–8
 - for triangle test, 147–8
- cross-modal scaling, 162
- cucumber, 99
- data
 - analysis, 19–29
 - capture, 63
 - computerised systems, 64
 - paper, 63–4
 - portable systems and internet, 64–5
 - qualitative research, 65
 - checks, 22
 - distribution, 20
 - nonnormal distribution, 20–21
 - nonparametric tests, 21
 - normal distribution, 20
 - parametric tests, 21
 - generation, 101
 - handling, 22–3
 - and documentation, 39–40
 - interval, 19
 - missing values, 22
 - nominal, 19
 - ordinal, 19
 - outliers, 22
 - quality, 102–5
 - ratio, 19
 - and record keeping, 39–40
 - samples and population, 21–2
 - skewed, 29
 - storage, 140–41
 - transformation, 22
 - logarithmic transformation, 23
 - normalising, 22
 - standardising, 23
- deodorant, 98
- dependent samples, *see* related samples

- descriptive analysis tests
 - descriptive methodology, types of, 110–18
 - determining objectives and future needs, 96
 - dos and don'ts, 118
 - key steps, 97
 - agreement on attributes, 98
 - assessment protocol, determining, 98–9
 - attribute generation, 97–8
 - data analysis and reporting, 102–9
 - data generation, 101
 - performance check, 101
 - rating intensity, 99–101
 - selection and training, of assessors, 97
 - panel leader, role of, 96–7
 - practical issues, in dealing with long-standing panels, 109
- descriptive statistics, 21, 23
 - central tendency, 23–4
 - dispersion, 24–5
- descriptive tests, 66
 - training for, 60–61
- design structure
 - balanced test designs, 16
 - common sensory designs, 16–17
 - complete and incomplete block designs, 16
 - panel size, 18–19
 - randomisation, 15
 - replication, 18
 - sample presentation techniques, 17–18
- difference from control profiling, 113
- difference from control test, 73–6
- discrete-point time intensity, 115–16
- discrimination tests, 66–8
 - attribute-specific tests, 83
 - 3-alternative forced choice, 85–7
 - paired comparison (2-AFC), 83–5
 - ranking test, 87–91
 - dos and don'ts, 95
 - forced choice vs. no difference, 67–8
 - overall difference tests, 68
 - 'A' 'not A' test, 80–83
 - difference from control test, 73–6
 - duo-trio test, 71–3
 - same-different test, 77–80
 - triangle test, 68–70
- re-assessing samples, 67
- setting objectives, for test, 67
- similarity, 91
 - correct number of assessors, selecting, 92
 - power, of test, 91–2
 - triangle test to determine similarity, 92–5
 - true discriminators, proportion of, 92
- test environment, 67
 - training for, 60
- discussion area, 43
- discussion guide, 124
- discussion room, 48
- dispersion, 24–5
- distraction error, 7
- distribution, of data
 - nonnormal distributions, 20–21
 - nonparametric tests, 21
 - normal distribution, 20
 - parametric tests, 21
- documentation, 140
 - and data handling, 39–40
 - and data storage, 140–41
- dummy sample, 15, 130
- dumping, 98
- duo-trio test, 71–3
- equipments, 48, 49
- Ethical Review Committee, 32
- ethnography, 123
- expectation error, 6
- experimental/assessment procedure, safety of, 36–7
- experimental design, 14
 - design structure, 15–19
 - balanced test designs, 16
 - common sensory designs, 16–17
 - complete and incomplete block designs, 16
 - panel size, 18–19
 - randomisation, 15
 - replication, 18
 - sample presentation techniques, 17–18
 - dos and don'ts, 19
 - treatment structure, 14
 - control samples, 14–15
- experimental error, 6
 - cultural factors, 10
 - physiological factors
 - adaptation, 9
 - physical condition, 10
 - stimuli interactions, 9–10
 - psychological factors
 - attribute dumping, 8
 - central tendency error, 9

- experimental error (*continued*)
 - contrast and convergence effects, 8
 - distraction error, 7
 - expectation error, 6
 - habituation, 8
 - halo effect, 7–8
 - logical error, 7
 - motivation error, 9
 - order effect, 8
 - proximity error, 7–8
 - stimulus error, 7
 - suggestion effect, 7
- external vs. internal assessors/panels, 55–6
- fatigue, 18, 74, 80
- feedback, 61
- flash profiling, 112
- Flavor Profiling®, 110
- focus group, 47, 119, 123–6
- food products testing
 - cleaning, 39
 - hygiene, 38–9
 - safety, 38
- food texture, attributes of, 5
- forced choice vs. no difference option, 67–8
- free choice profiling, 112
- frequency distribution, 20
- Friedman test, 89, 136, 159
- frozen desserts, 50
- full written report, 138
- functional areas
 - assessment area (booths), 46–7
 - discussion room, 48
 - reception/waiting area(s), 45
 - sample preparation area, 45–6
 - sample serving area, 46
 - specialised assessment area(s), 47
 - storage areas, 48
 - temporary assessment area(s), 47
 - testing in the home (home use testing), 47–8
- Gaussian distribution, *see* normal distribution, of data
- generalised procrustes analysis (GPA), 112
- good working and laboratory practices, 37
- documentation and data handling, 39–40
- dos and don'ts, 41
- food products testing, 38–9
- intellectual property, 40–41
- quality, 38
- safety, 38
- group activities, 61–2
- gustation, 4–5
- habituation, 8
- halo effect and proximity error, 7–8
- hedonic rating, 129–34
- home use testing (HUT), 47–8
- hot food, 50
- human senses, 4
 - audition, 6
 - gustation, 4–5
 - multimodal perception, 6
 - olfaction, 5
 - touch, 5
 - vision, 4
- hygiene, 38–9
- hypothesis
 - alternative, 25
 - null, 25, 26
 - testing, 25–6
- ICC/ESOMAR International Code of Marketing and Social Research, 31
- IFST PFSG professional code of conduct for sensory professionals, 143–6
- incomplete block design, 16
- independent ethical committee
 - review, 31
 - assessors selection, 33
 - compensation, 34
 - health monitoring, 34
 - informed consent, 32–3
- inferential statistics, 21–2
 - hypothesis testing, 25–6
- informed consent, 32–3
- Institute of Food Science and Technology (IFST), 31
- intellectual property, 40–41
- intensity
 - range, 99–100
 - rating, 99–101
 - training, 100–101
- intensity variation descriptive method, 113
- interaction, 152
- internal vs. external assessors/panels, 55–6
- International organisation for standardisation (ISO), 67
- interval data, 20
- interview
 - group, 123
 - one-to-one, 61, 119, 121, 123

- intra-oral perception, 6
- ISO 2052:2006, 31
- just about right (JAR) scales, 135
- kinesthesia, 5
- labelled magnitude scale (LMS), 160–61
- Latin Square, 16
- Latin Square designs, 142
- legislation and professional codes of conduct, 31
- lighting, 45
- line scale, 160
- logarithmic transformation, 23
- logical error, 7
- magnitude estimation, 160
- magnitude matching, *see* cross-modal scaling
- market assessment, 96
- market research, 31
- mean, 20
 - arithmetic, 23, 136
 - geometric, 23
- median, 20, 23–4
- melon, 52, 99
- microbiological safety, in sensory testing, 35–6
- missing values, 22
- mode, 20, 24
- modelling techniques, 137
- modified quantitative descriptive
 - analysis of chocolate texture, case study
 - recruitment and training, 163–70
 - sample evaluation, 170–73
- monadic, 17
- motivation error, 9
- mouth-feel attributes, 5
- multimodal perception, 6
- multiple comparison tests (MCTs), 75, 153–4
 - choice, 154–5
- naive assessors
 - consumers, 57
 - untrained sensory panel, 56–7
- no difference option vs. forced choice, 67–8
- nominal data, 20
- nonnormal distribution, of data, 20–21
- nonparametric tests, 21, 27, 131, 136
- normal distribution, of data, 20
- normalising, 22
- novel ingredients, 30, 35
- objective tests, 1–2, 66
- odour, 5, 38, 44, 45, 46, 47, 50, 58
 - see also* aroma
- olfaction, 5
- one-factor ANOVA, 152
- open-ended questions, 121
- oral presentation, 138
- order effect, 8
- ordinal data, 20
- outlier, 22
- overall difference tests, 68
 - 'A' not A' test, 80–83
 - difference from control test, 73–6
 - duo-trio test, 71–3
 - same-different test, 77–80
 - triangle test, 68–70
- p-value, 25–6
- paired comparison test (2-AFC), 83–5
- paired preference test, 83
- paired samples, *see* related samples
- palate cleanser, 52
- panel leader, role of, 96–7
- panel performance, monitoring, 62–3
- panel size, 18–19
- parameters, definition of, 21
- parametric tests, 20, 21, 27, 106, 160
- partial least squares (PLS) regression, 137
- patent, 40, 41
- percentile ranges, 25
- performance check, 101
- perfumes, 51, 53
- personal contact, 61
- personal data, 140–41
- population and samples, 21–2
- portable systems and internet, 64–5
- poster, 138
- precision, 62, 104–5
- preference mapping, 136–7
- preference tests, 127–9
- principal component analysis (PCA), 23, 108–9, 171
- problem data, handling, 105–6
- product assessment, 54, 98
- product development, 96
- product optimisation, 96
- product-specific scale, *see* category-specific scale
- product type, 11–12
- professional conduct, in sensory testing
 - dos and don'ts, 37
 - experimental/assessment procedure, safety of, 36–7

- professional conduct, in sensory testing (*continued*)
 - importance, 30
 - independent ethical committee review, 31–4
 - legislation and professional codes of conduct, 31
 - protection of assessors, 31
 - test samples, safety of, 34–6
- Professional Food Sensory Group (PFSG), 31
- Profile Attribute Analysis® (PAA®), 110
- project completion
 - data storage, 140–41
 - documentation, 140
 - dos and don'ts, 141
 - reporting, 138–40
- project planning
 - action standards, setting, 13–14
 - budget, 12
 - data analysis, 19
 - appropriate statistical test, choice of, 26–8
 - data handling, 22–3
 - descriptive statistics, 23–5
 - distribution, 20–21
 - dos and don'ts, 28–9
 - inferential statistics, 25–6
 - samples and population, 21–2
 - types, 19–20
 - experimental design, 14
 - design structure, 15–19
 - dos and don'ts, 19
 - treatment structure, 14–15
 - objectives, 11
 - product type, 11–12
 - test method selection, 12–13
 - timings, 12
- proto monadic, 17–18
- qualitative methods, 123
 - focus groups, 123–6
- qualitative research, 65, 121
- quality assurance (QA), 2, 74, 96
- quality control (QC), 73, 74, 96
- Quantitative Descriptive Analysis®, 111, 163
- quantitative flavour profiling (QFP), 113
- quantitative methods, 127
 - acceptance tests, 129–34
 - attribute diagnostics, 134–6
 - preference tests, 127–9
- questionnaire design, 120
 - coding, 122
 - dos and don'ts, 123
 - layout, 121
 - pilot, 122
 - question, type of, 121
 - research objectives, 120
 - type of, 121
 - wording, 122
- R index, 78, 174
 - calculation, 175–7
 - discrimination tests with response bias, 174
 - multiple sample assessments, 175
 - result interpretation, 177
- radar plots, 106
- randomisation, 15
- randomised complete block design (RCBD), 16–17
- rank/rating, 162
- ranking test, 87–91, 177
- rating intensity, 99–101
- ratio data, 20
- raw data, 140
- re-assessing samples, 67
- reception/waiting area(s), 45
- record keeping, 40
- reference samples, 52
- related samples, 27
- relative scales, 99
- reliability (validity), 63, 103–4
- remuneration, 62
- repeated measures design, 17, 152
- replication, 18
- reporting, 138–40
- requirements, for sensory testing, 30
 - assessors, 54
 - dos and don'ts, 63
 - good working practices for, 62
 - internal vs. external assessors/panels, 55–6
 - monitoring panel performance, 62–3
 - motivation, 61–2
 - recruitment, 54–5
 - screening and selection, 56–60
 - training, 60–61
 - data capture, 63
 - computerised systems, 64
 - paper, 63–4
 - portable systems and internet, 64–5
 - qualitative research, 65
 - good working and laboratory practices, 37
 - documentation and data handling, 39–40
 - dos and don'ts, 41
 - facilities testing food products, special considerations for, 38–9

- intellectual property, 40–41
- quality, 38
- safety, 38
- professional conduct, 30
 - dos and don'ts, 37
 - experimental/assessment procedure, safety of, 36–7
 - importance, 30
 - independent ethical committee review, 31–4
 - legislation and professional codes, 31
 - protection of assessors, 31
 - safety of test samples, 34–6
- resources needed, 41
 - air handling, 45
 - dos and don'ts, 48–9
 - equipment, 48
 - functional areas, 45–8
 - general considerations, 43–4
 - lighting, 45
 - location, 44
 - materials, 44
 - sensory staff, 41–2
- samples, 49
 - dos and don'ts, 53–4
 - preparation, 49–50
 - presentation, 50–52
 - reference samples, 52
 - sample assessment procedure, 53
- response surface modelling (RSM), 137
- role, of sensory evaluation, 2–3
- safety
 - of experimental/assessment procedure, 36–7
 - good working and laboratory practices, 38
 - of test samples, 34
 - allergens, 36
 - microbiological safety, 35–6
 - sample ingredients, 34–5
 - toxicological safety, 35
- same–different test, 77–80, 176
- samples, 16, 49, 152
 - assessment procedure, 53
 - batches, 21
 - dos and don'ts, 53–4
 - microbial quality, 50
 - and population, 21–2
 - preparation, 45–6, 49
 - equipment and utensils, 49
 - materials, 49
 - method, 49–50
 - presentation, 17–18
 - carrier, 51
 - coding, 51–2
 - number and order, 52
 - palate cleanser, 52
 - sample size and temperature, 50
 - vessel, 50–51
 - reference samples, 52
 - serving area, 46
- scale
 - design, 99–101
 - labeling, 100
- scientific paper, 138
- sensitivity, 9
- sensometrics, 19
- sensory and consumer testing, 2–3
- sensory data, displaying, 106–9
- sensory evaluation, meaning of, 1
- sensory lexicon, 98, 164, 165
- sensory perception
 - human senses, 4
 - audition, 6
 - gustation, 4–5
 - multimodal perception, 6
 - olfaction, 5
 - touch, 5
 - vision, 4
- sensory measurements, factors
 - affecting, 6
 - cultural factors, 10
 - physiological factors, 9–10
 - psychological factors, 6–9
- sensory profiles, 106–7
- sensory space, 99
- sensory staff, 41–2
- sensory test methods, 3, 151
 - affective/consumer tests, 118–19
 - general considerations, 119–20
 - qualitative methods, 123–6
 - quantitative methods, 127–36
 - questionnaire design, 120–23
 - consumer, sensory and product data, linking, 136
 - conjoint analysis, 137
 - modelling techniques, 137
 - preference mapping, 136–7
- descriptive analysis tests, 96–7
 - descriptive methodology, types of, 110–18
 - dos and don'ts, 118
 - key steps, 97–109
 - practical issues, in dealing with long-standing panels, 109
- discrimination tests, 66–8
 - attribute-specific tests, 83–91
 - dos and don'ts, 95
 - overall difference tests, 68–83
 - similarity, 91–5

- sensory test methods (*continued*)
 - objective tests, 66
 - subjective tests, 66
- sensory traces, 106–7
- sequential monadic, 17
- short written report, 138
- similarity, 91
 - correct number of assessors, selecting, 92
 - power, of test, 91–2
 - triangle test to determine similarity, 92–5
 - true discriminators, proportion of, 92
- skewed data, 29
- skin cream, 98, 124, 126
- somesthesia, 5
- specialised assessment area(s), 47
- spectrum™ method, 111–12
- spider plots, 106
- standard deviation, 24
- standard error, 24–5
- standard operating procedures (SOPs), 38
- standardisation, 23
- star charts, 106
- statistical test, choice of, 26–8
- statistics
 - definition, 21
 - descriptive, 21, 23
 - central tendency, 23–4
 - dispersion, 24–5
 - inferential, 21–2
 - hypothesis testing, 25–6
- stimuli interactions, 9–10
- stimulus error, 7
- storage areas, 48
- students *t*-test, 21
- study-specific scale, 99–100
- subjective tests, 2, 66
- suggestion effect, 7
- sureness rating, 78, 80, 82
- swallowing, 53
- tea, 52
- temperature, 50
- temporal dominance of sensations (TDS), 117–18
- temporary assessment area(s), 47
- test environment, 67
- test locations
 - advantages, 120
 - disadvantages, 120
- test method selection, 12–13
- test samples, safety of, 34
- allergens, 36
- microbiological safety, 35–6
- sample ingredients, 34–5
- toxicological safety, 35
- testing facilities
 - air handling, 45
 - functional areas, 45–8
 - general considerations, 43–4
 - lighting, 45
 - location, 44
 - materials, 44
- texture, 110
- Texture Profiling®, 110–11
- threshold tests, 58
- time intensity methods, 113–15
- timings, 12
- toothpaste, 53
- touch, 5
- toxicological safety, in sensory testing, 35
- trademarking, 40
- trained panel
 - health, 57
 - personality, 57
 - results interpretation, 59–60
 - sensory acuity, 57–8
- stimuli
 - detection, 58
 - discriminating between, 58
 - recognising and describing, 58–9
- transformation, 22
 - logarithmic transformation, 23
 - normalising, 22
 - standardising, 23
- treatment structure, 14–15
- triangle test, 68–70
 - to determine similarity, 92–5
- true discriminators, proportion of, 92
- two-factor ANOVA, 152
 - with interaction, 153
- type I error, 25
- type II error, 25
- universal scale, 99
- untrained sensory panel, 56–7
- variation, 151–2
- vision, 4
- visual analogue scale, *see* line scale
- water, 50, 99
- Williams Latin Square designs, 142
- wine, 5, 51, 116
- yoghurt, 96, 99