
Index

Note: Page references in *italics* refer to illustrative material.

- A & W Root Beer Float, 53–6
- A not-A test, 275–6. *See also* Discrimination tests
 expected test results, 279
 method of sample presentation, 279
 number and order of sample presentation, 279
 test results, 279
 total number of samples, 277
- AC Nielsen, 126
- Academics, sensory analysts as, 12–14
- Acceptance
 attitudes, 353
 beliefs, 353
 color, 351
 cross-cultural effects, 354–5
 cultural and social factors, 354
 environment and, 358
 flavor/aroma, 352
 food use situations, 358
 health claims, 356–7
 identical foods, 359
 ingredient formulation, 356–7
 meal context, 357–8
 neophobia and, 353
 nutrition claims, 356–7
 opinions, 353
 packaging, 352
 preservation techniques, 357
 processing techniques, 357
 product category and, 355
 product information and, 355–6
 taste, 352
 temperature, 352–3
 test location and, 359
- Acceptance rating, 293–4
- Acceptance tests, 230–231
 binomial distribution and tables, 235
 chi-square test, 236
 diagnostics, 231
 methods, 231
 “no-preference” responses, 236–7
 overall performance, 231
 panel size, 332
 relations among variables, 231
- Accreditation, 377–8
- Activity analysis, 67
- “Add Excitement” respondents, 149, 152
- Additive constants, 163–4
- Addressable Minds™, 386, 389, 390–93
- ADL Flavor Profile, 9
- ADL method, 217
- Advancing behaviors, 30
- Advertising
 guidance, and concept research, 154
 market share analysis and, 138
 product development and, 385
- Advertising agencies, 47, 73, 118
- Affinity groups, 250–51
- Age, 336
- Alliesthesia, 353
- Altria, 57
- American Demographics*, 125
- American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM), 171
- Analysis of variance (ANOVA), 142, 214
- Anxiety, 36, 38
- Applied research, 365–6
- Apprentice, The*, 77
- Aroma, 192–3, 202, 352
- Art of Innovation, The*, 70
- Art of Possibility, The*, 78
- Arthur D. Little Inc., 2–3
 Flavor Profile® method, 2–3, 168, 289–90
- Asimov, Isaac, 123
- Assets, wasted, 90
- Association for Chemoreception Sciences (ACHEMS), 372, 374, 377
- Attitude and usage studies, 125
- Attitudinal approach, 174

Sensory and Consumer Research in Food Product Design and Development, Second Edition.

Howard R. Moskowitz, Jacqueline H. Beckley, and Anna V.A. Resurreccion.

© 2012 Blackwell Publishing Ltd. Published 2012 by Blackwell Publishing Ltd.

- Attributes, 160
 descriptive analysis of, 211
 image, 169
 intensity, 222
 JAR scales, 192–4
 liking, 173–5
 modeling, 291–4
 descriptive/predictive equations, 293–4
 graphical methods, 291–3
 response surface method, 294–303
 sensory, 169
 sensory directional, 169
- Australian consumers, 354
- Avon, 57
- Baby foods, concept research, 156
- Baked LAY®, 29
- Bakken, David, 61
- Bar code, 126
- Bartoshuk, Linda, 5
- Basis set, 179
- Behavioral modeling, 125
- Bellas, Michael, 53
- Benchmarking, 222–6
 business issues in, 224–5
 conditions associated with, 225
 consume attitudes and, 224
 consumer changes, 223–4
 consumer surveys, 225
 consumer tests, 225
 cost, 224–5
 definition of, 219
 descriptive analysis, 225
 documenting changes in, 225–6
 frequency of, 224–5
 market condition changes, 223, 223–4
 procedures used in, 225
 product changes, 223–4
- Benefits testing, 137–8
- Beverage Marketing Corp., 53
- Bias, 325
- Binomial distribution, 235
- Blind testing, 356
- Blink*, 122
- Blown to Bits*, 116
- Books, 374–5
- Booths, 339
- Boston Pangborn Symposium, 12
- Box-Behnken design, 183, 184
- Bradbury, Ray, 123
- Brainreserve, 123
- Brand
 blind vs. branded ratings, 202–3
 consumer liking and, 355–6
 management of, 21, 28
 value of, 201–3
- Brandmarks, 157, 158
- Breach of trust, 111
- Bread, labeling, 356
- Breakthrough ideas, 164, 387
- Buckets, 35, 64, 159
- Buckingham, Marcus, 109
- Business Builders*, 12–14
- Business decisions
 formulation of, 208
 sensory research and, 208
- Business plan, 218
- Business process design, 87
- Buy It!™, 130
- Buying behavior, 221
- Caffeine, 354
- Cain, William, 5
- Calibration session, in description analysis, 322
- “Camping out” research, 47
- Canonical analysis, 311
- Carbonated soft drinks, 53
- Carry-over effects, 339
- Category appraisal, 176–81
- Category scales, 286, 340
- Center for Creative Leadership, 109
- Central location facilities, 171–2
- Central location intercept test, 248–55. *See also*
 Home use tests; Laboratory tests
 advantages of, 248
 affinity groups, 250–51
 consumer panel, 250
 disadvantages of, 249
 handling of test samples, 253–4
 number of panelists in single test session, 252–3
 number of products evaluated by single panelist,
 253
 orienting panelists, 252
 panel size, 331, 332
 preparations and serving considerations, 252
 in response surface method, 296
 screening for consumer panelists, 251–2
 shopping mall intercepts, 250
 size of panel, 250
 sources of panelists, 250–51
 test facilities, 254–5
 what the panelist does, 253
- Central location tests, 172
- Character profiles, 67
- Chemical Senses*, 374
- Chemical Senses and Flavor*, 374
- Chemists, 6
- Chief consumer office (CCO), 96–9

- Children, hedonic scales for, 344, 345
- Chinese consumers, 354
- Chi-square (X^2) test, 236
- Chocolate peanut spread, 302–3
- Choice economy, 207
- Church panels, 327
- Citric acid, 354
- Civic organizations, panelists from, 250–51
- Claim substantiation, 212
- Clarke, Isaac C., 123
- Classic behaviors, 30
- Classics*, 11
- Clausi, Al, 92
- Clifton, Donald, 109
- CLT. *See* Central location intercept test
- Cluster analysis, 296, 309
- Coca-Cola[®], 146, 147, 148, 152, 153
- Coefficient of determination (R^2), 307
- Coffees, sensory perception segmentation, 197–201
- Cola concepts. *See also* Concept research
 conjoint analysis, 154
 experimental designs, 148
 experimentally designed studies, 146, 147
 mind-set segments, 152
 psychographic segments, 152
 respondent segmentation, 150–51
 utilities for, 150–52
- Collins, Jim, 122
- Color, 351
 objective measurements, 284
 sensitivity analysis, 188–9
- Colorimeter, 284
- Combinations, 141, 142
- Commercial packaging, 352
- Commercial Revolution, 117
- Commoditization, 111
- Communication, poor, 111
- Competitor elements, 164
- Concept, 135–7
 applied vs. academic research, 365–6
 comparable format, 162
 four-element, 405
 length, 160
 package designs as, 155–7
 positioning, 136
 product, 135–6
 systematic development of, 139–41
 text-only, 136
 with text and graphics, 136
- Concept development, 47–8
 benefits testing, 137–8
 conjoint analysis, 139–41
 early stage, 135–7
 interest model, 146–8
- Internet-based, 369
 protocept, 135
- Concept optimizer, 402–3, 404
- Concept rejection, 145
- Concept research
 advertising guidance and, 154
 analytical approaches, 162–4
 benefits testing, 137–8
 elements, 164
 in-field considerations, 160–61
 interest model, 162
 knowledge building, 153
 number of elements, 164–5
 number of respondents, 165
 persuasion model, 162
 product guidance and, 153
 self-authoring studies, 165
 sensory analysts in, 137
 small- vs. large-scale studies, 165
 as strategic tool, 155
 up-front design, 160–61
- Concept scores, 138
- Concept testing, 138–9
- Conferences, 374, 375–7
- Conjoint analysis, 139–41
 concept elements, 141
 experimental design, 139–40
 as master idea mixer, 404
 ordinary least squares regression, 141
 scalability of, 157–60
- Consequences, 64
- Constructive alternativism, 49
- “Consultants Corner”, 123
- Consumer acceptance
 attitudes, 353
 beliefs, 353
 color, 351
 cross-cultural effects, 354–5
 cultural and social factors, 354
 environment and, 358
 flavor/aroma, 352
 food use situations, 358
 health claims, 356–7
 ingredient formulation, 356–7
 meal context, 357–8
 neophobia and, 353
 nutrition claims, 356–7
 opinions, 353
 packaging, 352
 preservation techniques, 357
 processing techniques, 357
 product category and, 355
 product information and, 355–6
 taste, 352

- Consumer acceptance (*Continued*)
 - temperature, 352–3
 - test location and, 359
- Consumer acceptance tests
 - acceptance tests, 230–39
 - vs. market research tests, 229–30
 - panels, 230
 - preference tests, 230–39
 - reasons for, 213
 - scale, 230, 266
 - venues for, 238–63
 - central location intercept tests, 248–55
 - home use tests, 255–63
 - laboratory tests, 238–48
 - mobile test laboratories, 255
- Consumer affective test, 210, 211
- Consumer behavior, 221
- Consumer Design Panel, 77
- Consumer insights, 10
- Consumer learning, 76–8
- Consumer needs/wants
 - assessing, 49–50
 - concept development and, 47–8
 - defining, 50–51
 - emotion and, 50
 - hierarchy of needs, 50
 - impact of sensory analysts on, 88
 - need state, 50
 - personal constructs and, 49
 - unconscious and, 50
 - values and, 49
- Consumer panel
 - age of, 336
 - appropriate users, 333–5
 - demographic characteristics, 326–7, 336–7
 - employees vs. nonemployees, 324–5
 - error estimation, 332–3
 - frequency of product use, 335–6
 - gender, 336–7
 - geographic location, 337
 - organization or church panels as sources of, 327
 - random sampling, 324
 - recruiting, 333
 - screening for, 251–2
 - screening questionnaire, 334–5
 - selecting consumers, 323
 - size of, 328–32
 - sources of, 250–51
 - statistical sampling, 323–4
- Consumer perception, 221
- Consumer Powered Design, 77
- Consumer research
 - beliefs and practices in, 92–3
 - coherence in, 74
 - focus groups, 70–72
 - goals of, 42
 - integration with sensory research, 97
 - ipsative analysis, 57–8
 - lead users, 73–4
 - segmentation, 117–18
 - sensory research and, 209
 - structure, 50–51
- Consumer surveys, 225
- Consumer tests, 225
 - in response surface method, 296, 302
- Consumer understanding
 - approaches, 61–2
 - find out phase in, 67–74
 - focus phase in, 62
 - frame phase in, 62–7
 - outcomes, 66
 - steps in, 62–74
 - value diagram, 63
- Consumer(s), 42–4
 - behavioral trends, 58–9
 - buying behavior, 221
 - concept research, 161
 - experience, 51–2
 - line extension and, 398
 - mind-sets, 118, 121–32
 - in product development, 212–13
 - product perspectives, 84–9
 - as respondents, 172–3
 - understanding, 61–2
- Continuous monitoring system, 225–6
- Contour plots, 303, 304–5
- Cool hunter, 123
- Cooper, Robert, 21, 43
- Correlation analysis, 306
- Correlation coefficient, 306
- Correspondence analysis, 314
- Counterintuitive Marketing*, 100
- Crave It!TM, 130, 149
 - additive constants, 163–4
- Crave It![®] Study, 11, 129
- Creative Destruction process, 29
- Cross-cultural effects, 354–5
- Cross-functional teams, 29
- Cross-validation, 307
- Custom research, 127–8

- Daily research, 106
- DAROMA (directional scale value for aroma), 193
- Data
 - bad, 162
 - consistency, 192–6
 - coping with, 11–12
 - dependent variable, 145
 - interest model, 146–8
 - interpreting, 146–8

- persuasion model, 145–6
- total panel, 145–6
- transformation, 145
- Data Classics*, 12
- Data Elaborates*, 12
- Data Imaginers*, 12
- “Day-in-the-life-of” research, 47
- Deal With It!TM, 60, 130
- Decision-making
 - benchmarking and, 225
 - corporate, 1–2, 7
 - cross-cultural factors, 354
 - fact-based, 1
 - information and, 35
 - sensory research and, 208
- Deconstruction, 155, 156
- Décor, 358
- Demand economy, 2, 52, 53, 98, 115
- Demographic questionnaire, 242–6
- Demographics, 72–3, 124–5
- Dental liquid diets, 356
- Department/group organization, 85
- Dependent variable, 145
- Descriptive analysis, 285–9
 - benchmarking and, 219, 225
 - panel, 287–9
 - panelists, 285–6
 - in response surface method, 296
 - scales used in, 286–7
 - testing methods, 289–91
 - Flavor Profile[®] method, 289–90
 - hybrid descriptive analysis, 291
 - quantitative descriptive analysis, 290
 - Spectrum descriptive analysis, 290–91
 - texture profile method, 290
 - warm-up samples, 289
- Descriptive/predictive equations, 293–4
- Diary panels, 125
- Diary studies, 126–7
- “Die Organischen Geschmacksstoffe”, 373
- Difference testing, 168, 177
- Dijon Pangborn Symposium, 11
- Discipline, 106–7
- Discriminant function analysis, 214, 309–11
- Discrimination tests, 270–76
 - coding of samples, 277
 - comparison of, 276–80
 - corporate needs, 168–9
 - duo-trio test, 270–71
 - expected test results, 279
 - magnitude of sensory differences between
 - samples measured by d' , 279–80
 - method of sample presentation, 279
 - A not-A test, 275–6
 - paired comparison test, 275
 - power of the test, 280
 - probability of chance guessing, 279
 - test results, 279
 - three alternative forced choice test, 273–5
 - total number of samples, 277
 - triangle test, 271–2
 - two alternative forced choice test, 272–3
- Door-to-door household surveys, 258
- Doubt, 92
- Dr. Pepper Snapple Group, 53–4
- Dream Society*, 51
- Drucker, Peter, 38, 117
- Dubner, Stephen, 122
- Dummy variable modeling, 143
- Duo-trio test, 270–71. *See also* Discrimination tests
 - coding of samples, 277
 - expected test results, 279
 - magnitude of sensory differences between
 - samples measured by d' , 280
 - method of sample presentation, 279
 - number and order of sample presentation, 279
 - power of the test, 280
 - probability of chance guessing, 279
 - test results, 279
 - total number of samples, 277
- DuPont, 140
- Economic man, 84
- Economics, 57
- Elaborates*, 11
- Elements, 397–8
 - classifying, 159
 - concept, 142, 164–5
 - creating, 143, 159
 - editing, 159
 - IdeaMap[®], 159
 - length of, 160
 - number of, 164–5
 - product, 64
 - types of, 164
- Emotion elements, 164
- Employees
 - bias, 325
 - consumer panel, 324–5
 - demographic characteristics, 326–7
 - overparticipation in panels, 325–6
 - product rating, 325
- Entrepreneurship, 117
- Environment, 358
- Equal-intensity contours, 297–8
- Error
 - analysis, 67
 - estimation, 332–3
 - scaling by, 141
- Euclidean distance, 309

- European Chemoreception Research Organization (ECRO), 374
- European Sensory Network, 168
- Evaluation of samples, 339
- Executorial elements, 164
- Experience Economy*, 51, 122
- Experience Panel, 77
- Experiential thread, 58
- Experimental design, 139–40, 143
 - conjoint analysis, 139–40
 - elements, 144, 145
 - Plackett-Burman screening, 143, 144
 - in response surface method, 296
 - response surface method, 302
- Experimental designs, Mind Genomics™, 390–92
- Experts, 337
 - as respondents, 172–3
- EXPLOR award, 128
- Extension, 398
- F* ratio, 326
- F* statistic, 307
- Facebook®, 123
- Face-to-face interviews, 221
- Facial scales, 344, 345
- Factor analysis, 214, 308–9
- Factor scores, 179, 180
- Fats, 59
- Fear, 92
- Fechner, G.T., 140, 168
- Fifth Discipline, The*, 29, 74
- Find Out phase. *See also* Consumer understanding
 - considerations in, 67–8
 - in consumer understanding, 67–74
 - demographics, 72–3
 - ethnographic methods in, 69
 - focus groups, 70–2
 - lead users, 73–4
 - mind-sets, 73–4
- Fiske, Donald, 108
- FIVE Dysfunctions of a TEAM*, 122
- “Flash” message, 157, 158
- Flavor, 202, 352
- Flavor leadership, 3
- Flavor Profile® method, 2–3, 168, 172, 289–90
- Flavoring, sensitivity analysis, 188–9
- Flow analysis, 67
- “Fly-on-the-wall” research, 47
- Focus groups, 101–4
 - Find Out phase, 70–72
 - market opportunity assessment, 217–18
 - panel size, 331
 - qualitative research, 211
- Focus phase, in consumer understanding, 62
- Food acceptance, 352–3
 - attitudes and, 353
 - beliefs and, 353
 - color, 351
 - commercial packaging and, 352
 - cross-cultural effects, 354–5
 - cultural and social factors, 354
 - flavor/aroma, 352
 - opinions and, 353
 - taste, 352
 - temperature and, 352–3
- Food action rating (FACT) scale, 266
- Food neophobia, 353
- Food Technology*, 124
- Food use situations, 358
- Forbes* magazine, 125
- Frame phase, in consumer understanding, 62–7
- Frameworks, 121
- Frankfurters
 - JAR scales, 190–91
 - package designs, 155, 157
- Freakonomics*, 122
- Free number matching, 286
- Free standing insert (FSI), 160
- Friedman’s two-way analysis of variance, 341
- Frito-Lay, 29
- Fully anchored scales, 340
- Future Shock*, 123
- Fuzzy front end, sensory research and, 44–6
- Fuzzy groups, 100
- Gender, 336–7
- General Foods Corp., 92, 290
- General Mills, 57
- General Motors, 128
- Genetic engineering, 357
- Geodemographics, 124, 149
- “*Geography of Trust, The*”, 109
- Gillette, 57
- Gladwell, Malcolm, 117, 122
- Global New Products Database®, 127
- Goal profile, 189
- Golden Software Inc., 298
- Good to Great*, 122
- Gourmet food, 355
- Graphical methods, 291–3
- Green, Paul, 140
- Griffin, Abbie, 43
- Grocery stores, 17, 58, 244, 248, 251
- Häagen Dazs®, 208
- Half yearly research, 107
- Harvard Business Review*, 75
- Hazard analysis and critical control points (HACCP), 220

- Hazards, 38, 46–7
- Health claims, 356–7
- Health messages, 157, 158
- HealthFocus[®], 128
- Hedonic scales, 170, 264–5, 342–51
 - 2-point, 170
 - 3-point, 170, 265, 343, 344
 - 5-point, 170, 265, 343, 344
 - 7-point, 265, 343, 344
 - 9-point, 170, 264–5, 342–3, 343, 344
 - for children, 344, 345
 - design, 351
 - facial, 344, 345
 - open-ended questions, 350
 - position of demographic questions, 349
 - position of overall liking rating in questionnaire, 347–9
 - purchase intent questions, 350
 - question sequence, 347
 - questionnaire construction, 346–7
 - questionnaire design, 344–6, 351
 - questionnaire format, 350
 - questionnaire length, 349–50
 - questionnaire pretesting, 350–51
 - questions to be answered, 346
 - respondent fatigue, 350
 - scale points, 170
 - verbal descriptors, 170
- Heinz, H.J., 57
- Helpful Staff*, 12–14
- Heraclitus, 17
- Herbert, Frank, 123
- Hershey Foods Corp., 128
- Hierarchical value map, 62
- Hierarchy of needs, 50
- Hires, Charles, 53
- Historical analysis, 67
- Home placement tests. *See* Home use tests
- Home use tests, 172, 255–63. *See also* Central location intercept test; Laboratory tests
 - advantages of, 256
 - data collection, 261–3
 - interviews, 261–2
 - self-administered questionnaire, 262
 - telephone interviews, 262–3
 - disadvantages of, 257
 - facilities, 259
 - Internet and, 368–9
 - panel size, 257–8, 331, 332
 - procedures, 259
 - product acceptance measurement, 261
 - product samples and placement, 259–60
 - recruitment and placement, 258–9
- Hotels, for central location tests, 248
- Household surveys, 258
- HUT. *See* Home use tests
- Hybrid descriptive analysis, 291
- Hypotheses, 65
- IdeaMap[®], 129, 148, 157–60, 396, 400, 402–3
- Identical foods, 359
- IDEO, 36, 61, 70
- IDEO Method Card, 76
- Idiographic profile, 58
- Image attributes, 169
- Imaginers*, 11
- Industrial Revolution, 117
- Information framing, 355
- Information Resources Inc., 126
- Information technology, antenatal natural history, 19
- Ingredients, 356–7
 - surrogate, 179
- In-home placement tests. *See* Home use tests
- Innovaid[™], 396, 397, 399
- Innovation, 18, 393–5, 405
- i-Novation, 129
- Insight Panel, 75, 77
- Inspiration[™], 36
- Institute of Food Technologists, 4, 48, 369, 376
- Instron[®] Universal Testing Machine, 284
- Insurance, 212
- Intellectual tension, 50
- Interest model, 146–8, 162
- International Symposium on Olfaction and Taste Conferences, 375
- Internet
 - custom research, 128
 - home use tests, 369
 - sensory research and, 368–9
 - survey research, 125
 - trend-spotting, 123
- Interval scale, 341–2
- Interviews, 221, 261–2
- Invention, 395–6, 398–9, 405
- Ipsative analysis, 57–8
- Ipsos, 130
- Irwin Broh @ Associates, 128
- Isointensity contours, 188–9
- It! Foundation Studies, 129–30
- It[™] database, 129
- Iterative approach, 165
- Jacobs, Harry, 3, 5
- Japanese Chemoreception Organization, 374
- Japanese consumers, 354
- JAR (just-about-right) scale, 189–92, 267–70. *See also* Scales
 - data consistency, 192–6
 - optimal product, 195–6
 - self-designed ideal, 196–7

- JAR (just-about-right) scale (*Continued*)
 sensory attributes and, 192–4
 wandering sensory unit in, 193–4
- Jensen, Rolf, 51
- JND (just noticeable difference), 193
- Job description, 85
- Job postings, 93
- Job tasks, 86
- Johnson, S.C., 57
- Johnson, Spencer, 122
- Journal of Marketing*, 382
- Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 123
- Journal of Sensory Studies*, 123
- Journal of Texture Studies*, 374
- Journals, 374–5
- Kapsalis, John, 4
- Kelly, George, 49
- Kelly, Tom, 70
- Kendall's coefficient of concordance, 341
- Knowledge
 bubbles, 36, 37
 building, 153
 concept research, 153
 of customer, 56
 elicitation, real-time, 217–8
 embracing, 28–9
 getting, 106–7
 from information, 34
 managing, 107–8
 objectivity and, 66
 perspicacity and, 66
 sound biting, 35
 veracity and, 66
- Knowledge mapping, 31–4
 data reduction rules in, 34–5
 data sources, 32
 graphic representation, 36–8
 making information real in, 34
 steps in, 33
 tools, 32
 visualization system, 36
 why it works, 34–8
- Koster, E.P., 374
- Kraft Foods, 57
- Kramer shear-compression test, 284
- Labels, 158
 consumer liking and, 355–6
 value of, 201–3
- Laboratory tests, 238–48. *See also* Central location
 intercept test; Home use tests
 advantages of, 238–9
 attendance, 246
 database, 238
 demographic questionnaire, 242–6
 disadvantages of, 239–40
 employees vs. nonemployees, 241
 incentives to participate in, 247
 location and design of test facility, 247–8
 mobile test laboratories, 255
 no-shows, 246
 outsourcing of testing function, 241–3
 panel, 238
 panel screening, 243–6
 panel size, 240, 331, 332
 panelist recruitment, 240–41
 products per sitting, 238, 248
 using local residents, 241
 venues for, 238
 “Lady tasting tea”, 370
 Large-scale studies, 165
 LAROMA (liking of aroma), 193
 Lead users, 73–4
 Legal documentation, 212
 Lencioni, Patrick, 122
 Levitt, Steven, 122
Life magazine, 123
 Lifetime research, 107
 Lighting, 358
 Lightspeed Research, 125
 Liking, 173–6. *See also* Acceptance tests
 attitudinal approach, 174
 attribute, 174
 drivers, 173–5
 hedonic scales. *See* Hedonic scales
 optimizing, 196
 overall, 174
 product information and, 355–6
 response-response analysis, 174
 sensory attribute and, 174
 simple degree of, 263–5
- Line scale, 340
- Linear scale, 286
- Linkage map, 62
- Linkage theory, 60
- Low-carbohydrate foods, 59
- Low-fat foods, 59
- Magnitude estimation scale, 286–7
- Maier, Corinne, 115
- Mall intercept, 250, 258–9
- Mann-Whitney test, 341
- Mapping, 371
- Mapping methods, 214
- Margarine
 formulations, 182
 optimal, attribute profile of, 181
 optimal formulations for, 186
 R-R attribute analysis, 175

- sensory attributes, 179
 - sensory vs. liking, 177
 - sensory-liking surface for, 177
- Market opportunity assessment, 217–8
- Market research, 10. *See also* Sensory research
 - concept tests, 138
 - conjoint measurement in, 140
 - vs. consumer acceptance tests, 229–30
 - consumer insight field, 94–5
 - consumer needs and, 45
 - customer/consumer perspectives, 84–9
 - definition of, 42
 - goals of, 42
 - job listings, 94–5
 - mind-set, 108–9
 - old vs. new paradigm, 110
 - panels, 230
 - participants, 209–10
 - scale, 230
 - vs. sensory analysis, 9–10
 - vs. sensory tests, 209–10
 - silos, 92–3
 - stimuli testing, 209
- Market researchers
 - job listings, 94–5
 - mind-set, 108–9
 - professional courses, 94–5
 - vs. sensory researchers, 365–6
- Market share analysis, 138
- Market strategy, in product implementation, 219–20
- Marketing Management*, 61
- Marketing Science Institute, 51
- Maslow, Abraham, 50
- McBurney, Donald, 5
- McDonald's, 130
- McKinsey, 118
- McMath, Robert, 52
- Meal context, 357–8
- Means-end chain, 50
- Measure of consistency, 163
- Megatrends*, 123
- Meiselman, Herbert, 5
- Messages, mixed, 111
- Metatrends, 123
- Microsoft Outlook[®], 116
- Milky Way II[®], 219
- Mind Genomics[™], 129, 383–93
 - experimental designs, 390–92
 - individual minds, 383–4
 - mind-set segments, 384–5
 - segments in population, 387–90
 - for sensory professionals, 392–3
- Mind-set, 11–12
 - consumers, 73–4, 118, 121–32
 - framework, 121
 - market researchers, 108–9
 - segments, 383, 384–5, 388
 - sensory analysts, 12–14, 87
- Mintel International Group, 127
- Mixmaster[®], 400
- Mobile test laboratories, 255
- Modeling, 291–4
 - descriptive/predictive equations, 293–4
 - graphical methods, 291–3
 - response surface method, 294–303
 - uses of, 291
- Money, in research, 90
- Monosodium glutamate (MSG), 354
- Monthly research, 107
- Moskowitz, Howard, 5, 129, 374
- Moskowitz Jacobs, Inc., 403
- Mouthfeel, 202
- Muffins, test concept for, 386
- Multidimensional scaling, 214, 314, 370
- Multivariate analysis, 307–14
 - canonical analysis, 311
 - cluster analysis, 309
 - correspondence analysis, 314
 - discriminant function analysis, 309–11
 - factor analysis, 308–9
 - multidimensional scaling, 314
 - multivariate multiple regression, 311
 - partial least squares regression analysis, 311–12
 - preference mapping, 312–13
 - principal components analysis, 308
 - stepwise discriminant analysis, 310–11
- Multivariate multiple regression, 311
- MyProductAdvisor.com, 128
- Mysurvey.com, 125
- Nabisco, 208
- Naisbitt, John, 123
- Natick Laboratories, 3, 5
- Natural Health Institute (NHI), 128–9
- Need states, 50
- Needs
 - assessing, 49–50
 - concept development and, 47–8
 - defining, 50–51
 - emotion and, 50
 - hierarchy of, 50
 - hierarchy of needs, 50
 - impact of sensory analysts on, 88
 - need state, 50
 - personal constructs and, 49
 - unconscious and, 50
 - values and, 49
- Neophobia, 353
- Network analysis, 125

- Neural imaging, 130
- Neuroeconomics, 84
- Neuromarketing, 130
- New Coke[®], 212, 219
- New product development process, 18
 - benchmarking in, 222–6
 - broken, 222
 - consumer learning during, 76–8
 - consumer research and, 43
 - consumer-centric, 48
 - consumers in, 212–13
 - cross-functional teams in, 29
 - discovery phase of, 44–6
 - failure cost/rate of, 207–8
 - in information vacuum, 207
 - Internet and, 369
 - knowledge-centric company and, 28–9
 - milestones, 215–21
 - past learning, 17–20
 - response surface method, 295–303
 - roles of, 28
 - sensory perception and, 211
 - sensory research and, 214–15
 - speed to market, 213
 - stages in, 215
 - steps in, 216
 - timeline, 22–7
 - value diagram, 91
- New York Academy of Sciences, 375
- Nex-Gen systems, 21
- Nielsen, 126, 127
- Nielsen Center, 128
- NIH (not invented here), 18
- NIMBY (not in my backyard), 18
- Noise, influence of, 358
- Nominal scale, 341
- Nomothetic groups, 146
- NOP World, 126
- “No-preference” choice, 234, 236–7
- NPD, 126
- Null hypothesis, 234–5, 322, 326, 328, 330
- Nutritional information, 356–7
- Obesity, 60
- Objectivity, 66
 - knowledge and, 66
- Observations, 68–9
- Odor, 352, 355
- Optimization, 195, 219, 291, 403–4
- Ordinal scale, 341
- Ordinary least squares (OLS), 141, 145
- Organizations, 110, 327
- Outliers, 122
- Outlook[®], 116
- Overall acceptance, 222
- Overdelivery, of products, 190, 192
- Overgeneralization, in research, 90
- Packaging, 352
 - designs, 155–7
 - guidance, and concept research, 154
 - systematic analysis of, 158
- Paired comparison test, 275. *See also* Discrimination tests
 - expected test results, 279
 - method of sample presentation, 279
 - origins of, 140
 - probability of chance guessing, 279
 - test results, 279
 - total number of samples, 277
- Paired preference tests, 231–5
 - advantages of, 233–4
 - combining with other tests, 238
 - data analysis for, 234–5
 - disadvantages of, 234
 - misusing, 238
 - no-preference, 234
- Panel size, 328–30
 - acceptance tests, 332
 - calculations, 329–30
 - central location tests, 331, 332
 - criterion, 329
 - focus groups, 331
 - home use tests, 257–8, 331, 332
 - laboratory tests, 240, 331, 332
 - relevant differences, 329
 - simulation study, 330–31
 - variability, 329
- Panelists. *See also* Respondents
 - age of, 336
 - appropriate users, 333–5
 - demographic characteristics, 326–7, 336–7
 - in descriptive analysis, 285–6
 - employees vs. nonemployees, 324–5
 - error estimation, 332–3
 - fatigue, 350
 - frequency of product use, 335–6
 - gender, 336–7
 - geographic location, 337
 - number of, 250
 - organization or church panels as sources of, 327
 - orienting, 252
 - prerecruited, 250
 - random sampling, 324
 - recruiting, 333
 - screening for, 251–2
 - screening questionnaire, 334–5
 - selecting consumers, 323
 - statistical sampling, 323–4
 - what they do, 253

- Panels
 affinity groups, 250–51
 central location intercept tests, 250
 in descriptive analysis, 287–9
 expert, 337
 recruiting, 240–41
 reference stimuli, 288–9
 screening, 243–6
 selection criteria and approach, 287–8
 training, 288
- Pangborn, Rose Marie, 8, 43, 373, 377
- Pangborn Symposium, 3–5, 11–12, 375
- Pantry panels, 126–7
- Partial least squares (PLS), 214
- Partial least squares regression analysis, 311–12
- Pasta products, branded, 356
- Pastry muffins, 385
- Pearson correlation coefficient, 153, 306
- Peller, Clara, 60
- People, in research, 90
- Pepsi™, 152
- Perception and Opinion of People (POP), 77
- Perception research, 34
- Personal constructs theory, 49
- Perspicacity, 66
 knowledge and, 66
- Persuasion model, 145–6, 162
- PERT Research, 130
- Physicochemical measurements, 283–5
- Pillsbury Company, 208
- Pine & Gilmore, 53
- Plackett-Burman screening, 143, 144, 183, 184
- Planning, inputs, 119
- Popcorn, Faith, 123
- Popcorn Report, The*, 123
- Positioning concept, 136
- Post-It® Notes, 19, 66
 knowledge mapping and, 34
- PowerPoint, 19, 34, 65
- Preference mapping, 312–13
- Preference tests, 231–2
 binomial distribution and tables, 235
 chi-square test, 236
 methods, 231
 “no-preference” responses, 236–7
 paired, 231–5
 ranking, 267
- Preferred title, 86
- Prerecruited panelists, 250
- Preservation techniques, 357
- Principal components analysis, 179, 308
- Principles of Sensory Evaluation of Food*, 373
- Probability, 305–6
- Processing techniques, 357
- Procrustes mapping, 371
- Procter & Gamble, 18, 43, 57, 85
- Product, optimal, 195
- Product assessment methods, 221
- Product attributes, 160
 descriptive analysis of, 211
 image, 169
 intensity, 222
 JAR scales, 192–4
 liking, 173–5
 modeling, 291–4
 descriptive/predictive equations, 293–4
 graphical methods, 291–3
 response surface method, 294–303
 sensory, 169
 sensory directional, 169
- Product category, 355
- Product commercialization, 215, 216
- Product definition, 218
- Product development, 38
 benchmarking in, 222–6
 consumer learning during, 76–8
 consumer research and, 43
 consumer-centric, 48
 consumers in, 212–3
 discovery phase of, 44–6
 in information vacuum, 207
 Internet and, 369
 knowledge-centric company and, 28–9
 milestones, 215–21
 past learning, 17–20
 response surface method, 295–303
 roles of, 28
 sensory research and, 214–15
 speed to market, 213
 stages in, 215
 steps in, 216
 timeline, 22–7
 value diagram, 91
- Product Development and Management Association (PDMA), 377
- Product Development Competition, 47–8
- Product implementation and marketing, 215, 216, 218–20
 benchmarking, 219
 market strategy and testing, 219–20
 product optimization, 219
 prototype development, 218–19
- Product information, 355–6
- Product introduction, 400
- Product launch and evaluation, 215, 216, 220–22
- Product lifecycle management, 28
- Product modeling, 176–81
 category appraisal, 176–81
 response surface analysis, 177
 steps in, 178

- Product name, 157, 158
- Product quality, 283–5
 - color, 284
 - Kramer shear-compression test, 284
 - objective measurements of, 283–5
 - snapping test, 285
 - texture, 284
- Product research, 85
 - incorrect/nonproductive, 87–9
 - problems in, 87–9
 - wasted assets in, 90
- Product strategy and definition, 215, 216, 217–18
 - business plan, 218
 - market opportunity assessment, 217–18
 - product definition, 218
 - strategic plan, 217
- Product support, 220–21
- Product testing
 - attribute types, 169–70
 - brands, 201–3
 - corporate needs, 168–9
 - interpretation, 167–8
 - JAR scale, 189–96
 - labels, 201–3
 - modeling, 291–4
 - descriptive/predictive equations, 293–4
 - graphical methods, 291–3
 - response surface method, 294–303
 - uses of, 291
 - models, 176–81
 - origins of, 167–8
 - professionalization of, 2
 - rationales, 167–8
 - respondents, 172–3
 - base size, 173
 - consumers as, 172–3
 - experts as, 172–3
 - response surface modes, 182–7
 - reverse engineering, 189
 - sensitivity analysis, 187–9
 - sensory preference segmentation, 197–201
 - sensory tests, 170–72
 - venues for, 170–72
 - central location facilities, 171–2
 - home use, 172
- Product(s)
 - applied vs. academic research, 365–6
 - concept, 135–6
 - consumer perspectives on, 84–9
 - elements, 64
 - flavor leadership, 3
 - frequency of use, 335–6
 - guidance, and concept research, 154
 - overdelivery of, 190, 192
 - reference point, 219
 - segmentation, 382–3
 - signature of, 169
 - underdelivery of, 190, 192
- Professionalism, 12–14, 52, 56–7
- Profiles, 141
- Projects, failure of, 90
- Protein engineering, 357
- Protocept, 135, 137, 218
- Prototypes, 183, 218–19
- Psychographics, 125, 148–9
- Psychometrics Society, 372
- Psychophysics, 5, 124–5, 172, 187
- p*-value, 306
- QDA method, 9, 172
- Qualitative research, 99–106
 - focus groups, 101–4, 211
 - guidelines, 105–6
 - merging with quantitative research, 104–5
- Quality control, 291
- Quality Functional Development (QFD), 43
- Quantitative descriptive analysis (QDA), 290
- Quantitative research, 99–106
 - focus groups, 101–4, 211
 - guidelines, 105–6
 - merging with qualitative research, 104–5
- Quantitative thinking, 6–7
- Quarterly research, 107
- Questionnaires, 262
 - construction, 346–7
 - design, 344–6, 351
 - format, 350
 - home use tests, 262
 - length of, 349–50
 - open-ended questions, 350
 - position of demographic questions, 349
 - position of overall liking rating in questionnaire, 347–9
 - pretesting, 350–51
 - purchase intent questions, 350
 - question sequence, 347
 - questions to be answered, 346
 - self-administered, 262
- R&D department, 210
- Radio frequency identification (RFID), 126
- Random sampling, 324
- Ranking methods, 266, 341
- Ratio scales, 340, 342
- Realities, 49
- Real-time knowledge elicitation, 217
- “Reduced-fat” information, 357
- Reference food, 357–8
- Reference point, 219
- Regression analysis, 306–7

- Regression modeling, 297
- Reidel Publishing Company, 374
- Religious organizations, panelists from, 250–51
- Remedies, 38, 46–7
- Replications, 332–3
- Research
 - applied vs. academic, 365–6
 - checklist of questions, 120
 - coherence in, 74
 - custom, 127–8
 - inputs, 119
 - qualitative, 99–106
 - quantitative, 99–106
 - secondary research services, 127
 - semi-syndicated, 128–32
 - syndicated, 126–7
- Residuals, 307
- Respondents, 172–3. *See also* Panelists
 - “Add Excitement”, 149, 152
 - base size, 173
 - concept evaluation, 160
 - concept research, 165
 - consumers as, 172–3
 - experts as, 172–3
 - fatigue, 350
 - number of, 160, 161, 165
 - product testing, 172–3
 - “Total Experience”, 152
 - “Traditional”, 149, 152
- Response surface analysis, 177
- Response surface method (RSM), 182–7, 294–304, 370–71
 - Box-Behnken design, 183, 184
 - consumer tests, 296–7, 302
 - contour for equal levels of consumer acceptance, 298
 - contour plots, 303, 304, 305
 - descriptive tests, 296
 - experimental designs, 186, 296, 302
 - graphically representing equal-intensity contours, 297–8
 - history of, 294
 - interpreting results of, 298–302
 - known ingredients and process conditions, 182–5
 - learning from, 303
 - Plackett-Burman design, 183, 184
 - problems in, 182–3
 - product development with, 295–303
 - in product optimization, 219
 - prototypes, 183
 - regression modeling, 297
 - statistical analysis, 302
- Response-response analysis, 174
- Return to investment (ROI), 119
- Reverse engineering, 189, 195
- Roasted low-fat peanuts, product development, 295–303
 - consumer tests, 296–7
 - contour for equal levels of consumer acceptance, 298
 - descriptive tests, 296
 - experimental designs, 296
 - graphically representing equal-intensity contours, 297–8
 - regression modeling, 297
- Roper Starch Worldwide, 126
- Rule developing experimentation (RDE), 395–6
- Rules Directed Experimentation (RDE), 130
- Sales, 221
- Same/different test, 275
- Samples
 - carry-over effects, 339
 - evaluation booths, 339
 - evaluation of, 339
- SAROMA (strength of aroma), 193
- Scales, 172, 263–70
 - category, 286, 340
 - for consumer acceptance, 266
 - criteria for selecting and developing, 342
 - in descriptive analysis, 286–7
 - ease of use, 161, 340
 - food action rating, 266
 - fully anchored, 340
 - hedonic measurement, 264–5, 342–51
 - interval, 341–2
 - JAR, 267–70
 - levels of, 341–2
 - line, 340
 - magnitude estimation, 286–7
 - multidimensional, 214, 314, 370
 - nominal, 341
 - ordinal, 341
 - ranking methods, 266
 - ratio, 340, 342
 - subjective measurement, 339–51
 - unstructured linear, 286
- Scale-up, 220
- Scanner data, 126
- Schumpeter, Joseph, 29
- Science fiction, 123
- Screener, 333
- Screening questionnaire, 333
- Secondary research services, 127
- Security-check questions, 251
- Segmentation, 148–53, 162
 - consumer research, 117–18
 - innovative products, 382–3
 - sensory preference, 197–201
- Self-administered questionnaire, 262

- Self-authoring studies, 165
- Self-designed ideal, 196–7
 anchoring, 197
 consistency, 197
- Semantic scale, 159
- Semi-syndicated research, 128–32
- Semon, T., 125
- Senge, Peter, 29, 74
- Sensitivity analysis, 187–9
- Sensometrics Conference, 4
- Sensometrics Society, 6, 372
- Sensory analysis, 2–4
 direction of, 11
 emergence of, 2–3
 evolution of, 373
 goals of, 42
 vs. market research, 10
 role of, 110
 statistical thinking in, 6–7
 test objectives, 210–12
- Sensory analysts
 academic, 12–14, 13, 365–6
 as academic contractor, 366–7
 accreditation of, 377–8
 Addressable Minds™, 392–3
 applied, 365–6
 approaches by, 214
 business builder, 12–14, 13
 chemists as, 6
 in concept research, 137
 coping with data, 11–12
 helpful staff, 12–14, 13
 history, 1–2
 impact on consumer needs/wants, 88
 job description, 85
 job listings, 94
 job tasks, 86
 vs. market researchers, 365–6
 methods used by, 86
 Mind Genomics™, 392–3
 mind-sets of, 12–14, 87
 preferred titles, 86
 in product development, 209
 professional courses, 94
 as professional researcher, 366–7
 professionalism study, 12–14, 88
 professionalization of, 377–8
 role of, 210
 test objectives, 210–12
 workplace success criteria, 87
- Sensory attributes, 169
 as drivers of liking, 175–6
 JAR scales, 192–4
 liking and, 174
- Sensory directional attributes, 169
- Sensory education, 369–70
- Sensory evaluation, definition of, 42
- Sensory Evaluation Division (SED), 376
- Sensory Evaluation Practices*, 374
- Sensory Evaluation Techniques*, 374
- Sensory field, 94
- Sensory laboratory tests, 238–48
 advantages of, 238–9
 attendance, 246
 database, 238
 demographic questionnaire, 242–6
 disadvantages of, 239–40
 employees vs. employees, 241
 incentives to participate in, 247
 location and design of test facility, 247–8
 no-shows, 246
 outsourcing of testing function, 241–3
 panel, 238
 panel screening, 243–6
 panel size, 240
 panelist recruitment, 240–41
 products per sitting, 238, 248
 using local residents, 241
 venues for, 238
- Sensory preference segmentation, 197–201
 coffee, 197–201
 by magnitude of reaction to one product, 198
 by pattern of liking ratings to products, 199
 by sensory level corresponding to maximum achievable rating, 199
- Sensory research. *See also* Market research
 actionability, 367–8
 books, 374–5
 business decisions and, 208
 conferences, 375–7
 consumer needs and, 45
 consumer research and, 209
 definition of, 42
 fuzzy front end and, 44–6
 goals of, 42
 integration with consumer research, 97
 Internet and, 368–9
 journals, 374–5
 laboratory tests, 238–48
 product development and, 214–15
 statistics, 370–72
- Sensory tests
 blind-labeled, 211–12
 consumer panel
 demographic characteristics, 326–7
 employees vs. nonemployees, 324–5
 organization or church panels as sources of, 327
 random sampling, 324
 selecting consumers, 323
 statistical sampling, 323–4

- expert panel for descriptive analysis, 322
 vs. market research, 209–10
 objectives, 210–12
 panel size, 328–32
 participants, 209–10
 stimuli testing, 209
 uses of, 211–12
 venues for, 170–72
- Sequence mapping, 67
- Serving temperature, 352–3
- Shadow boxes, 77
- Shopping mall intercepts, 250, 258–9
- Signature, product, 169
- Silos, 31, 92–3, 397, 399, 400
- Simple difference test, 275
- Sloan, Elizabeth, 124
- Small-scale studies, 165
- Smiley face scale, 344, 345
- Smith, Adam, 116
- Smith, Preston, 108
- Smith, Wendell, 382
- Snackwell®, 29
- Snapping test, 285
- Social media, 123
- Social organizations, panelists from, 250–51
- Society of Sensory Professionals, 4
- Sopheon.com, 31
- Sound biting, 35, 63
- Soups, labeling, 356
- Soybean oil, 356
- Spectrum Descriptive method, 290–91
- Spectrum method, 9, 172
- Speed to market, 213
- Split-sample validation, 315
- SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences), 19
- Squared multiple, 142
- Stage-Gate™, 21, 43, 44, 208
- Statistical programs, 214
- Statistical sampling, 323–24
- Statistics, 6–7, 370–72
 - canonical analysis, 311
 - cluster analysis, 309
 - correspondence analysis, 314
 - discriminant function analysis, 309–11
 - factor analysis, 308–9
 - multidimensional scaling, 314
 - multivariate methods, 307–14
 - multivariate multiple regression, 311
 - partial least squares regression analysis, 311–12
 - preference mapping, 312–13
 - principal components analysis, 308
 - stepwise discriminant analysis, 310–11
- Stengel, Jim, 122
- Stepwise discriminant analysis, 309–11
- Stevens, S.S., 5
- Stimuli testing, 209
- Strategic plan, 217
- Strategy, inputs, 119
- Stretch elements, 164
- Structuralism, 8
- Supply economy, 2, 53, 115
- Surfer Access System Program®, 298
- Surrogate ingredients, 179
- Surveys, 93, 225
- Swedish Institute for Food Preservation Research, 3, 10
- Swedish Institute for Food Research, 3
- SymphonyIRI Group, 126, 127
- Syndicated research, 126–7
- Target population, 323
- Taste, 202, 352
- Taste test booths, 171
- Taste testing, 171
- Tchong, Michael, 123
- Telephone interviews, 221, 258, 262–3
- Temperature, 352–3
- Tension, 50
- Test concepts, 141
- Test location, 359
- Test protocols, 359–60
- Test samples, handling of, 253–4
- Texture, 202
 - objective measurements, 284
- Texture profile analysis (TPA), 284
- Texture profile method, 290
- Three alternative forced test (3-AFC), 273–5
 - magnitude of sensory differences between samples measured by d' , 280
 - method of sample presentation, 279
 - number and order of sample presentation, 279
 - power of the test, 280
 - test results, 279
 - total number of samples, 277
- Thurstonian scaling, 141
- Time, loss of, 90
- Time magazine, 123
- Timeline, 22–7
- Tipping Point, The*, 122
- Titchener, Edward Bradford, 8
- Toffler, Alvin, 123
- Tomato purees, 356
- “Total Experience” respondents, 149, 152
- Total panel, 145–6
- “Traditional” respondents, 149, 152
- Tragon Corp., 290
- Trained panels, 337–8
- Transgenic products, 356
- Trendscaping, 123

- Trend-spotting, 123–4
Trial production, 220
Triangle test, 271–2. *See also* Discrimination tests
 expected test results, 279
 method of sample presentation, 279
 number and order of sample presentation, 279
 probability of chance guessing, 279
 test results, 279
 total number of samples, 277
Tried first bias, 233
Trump, Donald, 77
Trust, 110–11
“Truth toxins”, 132
Tuorila, Hely, 3
“Twelve Steps to Test Market”, 43
Twitter®, 123
Twitter™, 34–5
Two alternative forced choice (2-AFC) test, 272–3.
 See also Discrimination tests
 magnitude of sensory differences between
 samples measured by d' , 280
 method of sample presentation, 279
 number and order of sample presentation, 277
 power of the test, 280
 probability of chance guessing, 279
 test results, 279
 total number of samples, 277
Type I error, 306
Type II error, 306

Uncertainty, 92
Unconscious mind, 50
Underdelivery, of products, 190, 192
Understanding & Insight Group, 129
United Business Media PLC, 126
University of California at Davis, 369
University of Georgia, 369
Unstructured linear scales (ULS), 286
U.S. Army Natick Laboratories, 3, 5
U.S. Census, 124–5
U.S. Quartermaster Corps, 3
Usage studies, 125

Validation, 307
Valuable distinctions, 48
Value diagram, 63, 67, 91
Values, 49, 64

Variables, functional relations among, 214
Vegetable soup, blind and branded ratings for, 202–3
Vegetarian vegetable soup, blind and branded ratings
 for, 202–3
Venue, 358
Veracity, 66
 knowledge and, 66
Vignettes, 141
Visio™, 36
Visualization, 34
Voice of the customer, 43
Von Sydow, Erik, 10, 97

“Walk-outs”, 249
Wal-Mart, 126
Wandering scores, 339
Wants
 assessing, 49–50
 concept development and, 47–8
 defining, 50–51
 emotion and, 50
 hierarchy of needs, 50
 impact of sensory analysts on, 88
 need state, 50
 personal constructs and, 49
 unconscious and, 50
 values and, 49
Warm-up samples, 289
Wasted assets, 90
“Weak signals”, 19
Wealth of Nations, 116
Weaning foods, concept research, 156
Weekly research, 106
Wharton School of Business, 140
White spaces, 17
Who Moved My Cheese?, 122
Whole product utility, 84
Wilcoxon signed ranks test, 341
Wind, Jerry, 140
Win-lose thinking, 111
Winning at New Products, 43
Workplace, criteria for success in, 87
Wundt, Wilhelm, 174

Yearly research, 107
Yes/no options, 142, 143, 168
Yoshida, Masaki, 371