J. Nutr. Dietet. (1964), 1, 128

ş,

MUTUAL SUPPLEMENTATION OF DIETARY PROTEINS FOR MEETING PROTEIN NEEDS AND OVERCOMING PROTEIN SHORTAGE IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES

S. VENKAT RAO, M. SWAMINATHAN AND H. A. B. PARPIA Central Food Technological Research Institute, Mysore (Received 1 Mar 1964)

The primary function of dietary proteins is to provide amino acids in appropriate patterns for the synthesis of tissue proteins during growth, for the maintenance of established cellular nitrogenous constituents and for other metabolic needs. The nutritive value of a protein depends on its amino acid make-up. The pioneering investigations of Wilcock and Hopkins¹ Osborne and Mendel² and Rose and his collaborators³ have established the essential nature of several amino acids. Rose and his co-workers³ have shown that out of the 22 amino acids commonly occurring in food proteins, 10 are essential for the growth of albino rats in the sense that they must be provided by the dietary protein. The remaining 12 amino acids can be synthesised in the body and hence are called non-essential amino acids. Since non-essential amino acids can spare essential amino acids, they must also be present in the diet in adequate amounts⁴. The classification of the amino acids from the nutritional standpoint is given in Table I.

Amino acid balance and imbalance: The complete absence of any one essential amino acid in a dietary protein produces negative nitrogen balance and does not promote growth, while partial deficit of any one essential amino acid lowers the growth promoting value of the protein.⁵ The majority of plant proteins is partially deficient in one or more amino acids. 5.6 The proportions of other essential amino acids present in the proteins have also been found to affect the utilisation of the limiting amino acids. This is known as amino acid imbalance.7 The results of amino acid imbalance studies indicate that even a small increase in the concentration

Table I. N	lutritive	classis	fication o	f the	amino	actds
------------	-----------	---------	------------	-------	-------	-------

Essential	Semi	Non-essential
(indispensable)	indispensable	(dispensable)
Histidine Lysine Tryptophan Phenylalanine Metbionine Threonlne Leucine Isoleucine Valine	Arginine* Tyrosine* Cystine* Glycine* Serine*	Glutamic scid Aspartic acid Alanine Proline Hydroxyptollpe

Arginine and glycine are essential for chicks and turkeys. Serine will spare or replace glycine.

t Tyrosine will spare but not completely replace phenylalanine. Cystine will spare but not completely replace methionine.

of certain amino acids sometimes increases the amounts of others needed to maintain a given rate of growth when the total protein intake is low.8 When a mixture of amino acids lacking in one essential amino acid is added to a diet, especially a lowprotein diet, the total amino acid (protein) content of the diet is increased and more of the limiting acid((expressed as % of the diet) is required to prevent a depression in growth rate. The absolute amount of limiting amino acid required to overcome the depression may also be increased.⁸⁴⁹ In experiments on protein mixtures prepared from a mixture of casein and gelatin in different proportions and having a wide range of 'chemical scores' (an index of amino acid deficiency) the rat tolerated a protein mixture with 'chemical score' of 50 without any apparent ill effects. If the 'chemical score' fell below 50, food intake and growth were depressed. Further work is needed on this aspect as the depressing

effect on growth will depend on the degree of amino acid imbalance in the mixture.

The nutritive value of food proteins: The nutritive value of the proteins of common foods and protein supplements has been determined by a large number of workers. The results have been summarised by Block and Mitchell,⁵ Kuppuswamy et al⁶ and Patwardhan,¹⁰ Some of the available data are given in Table II.

Cereals : Cereals contribute more than 50 percent of proteins in the diets of low-income groups in almost all developing countries and hence form the most important source of dietary protein.^{11/12} They contain moderate amounts of protein varying from 6-14%, depending on the cereal. The limiting amino acids in most cereal proteins are lysine and threonine. Maize and sorghum proteins have also amino acid imbalance. ^{6,7} The protein efficiency ratios of the cereals and millets range widely from 0.5 to 2.4 depending on the grain and are given in Table II.

Legumes : Legumes are good sources of protein containing about 18-25%. About 15-30% of the total proteins in average diets consumed by the low-income groups in developing countries may be derived from depending on the region.¹¹ legumes Legume proteins are good sources of lysine and threonine but are limiting in sulphur amino acids and tryptophan.⁶ Optimal heat processing has been shown to bring about an increase in the nutritive value of legumes by inactivating trypsin and growth inhibitors present in them.¹³ The protein efficiency ratios of optimally heat processed legumes may range from 1.0 to 2.2 depending on the legume and are given in Table II.

Nuts and oilseeds: Nuts and oilseeds are, in general, good sources of proteins⁶. Oilseed meals form important potential sources of proteins for lovercoming protein malnutrition in developing countries.¹⁴ The most important of these are meals obtained from soyabean, groundnut, cottonseed, sesame, coconut and sunflower seeds. The protein efficiency ratios of nuts and oilseeds range from 1.5 to 2.5 depending on the material and are given in Table II.

Roots and tubers : Among the roots and tubers potato is a fair source of proteins when considered on moisture-free basis. The protein efficiency ratios of potato proteins vary widely and are given in Table 11.

Egg and milk: The proteins of egg possess the highest nutritive value among dietary proteins.⁶ Milk proteins possess high nutritive value though lower than that of egg proteins. The protein efficiency ratios of egg and milk proteins are given in Table II.

Meat and fish . The proteins of meat and fish possess high nutritive value comparable to those of milk proteins.⁶ Data regarding the protein efficiency ratios of meat and fish proteins are given in Table II.

Food yeast: Food yeast proteins are rich sources of lysine and threonine but are deficient in sulphur amino acids.⁶ Data regarding the protein efficiency ratio of food yeast proteins are given in Table II.

Supplementary relations between cereal proteins and other dietary proteins: Data available on the supplementary relations between cereal proteins and other dietary proteins are briefly summarised below.

Legumes: Since legume proteins are rich in lysine and threonine they supplement to a marked extent those of cereal proteins, which are in general limiting in these amino acids.⁶ Cereal-legume mixtures contain proteins of superior nutritive value as compared with that of cereal or legume proteins.¹⁵⁻¹⁸ The proteins of legumes such as Bengal gram (Chick pea), black gram, green gram and red gram (Pigeon pea) supplement the proteins of wheat, sorghum and pearl millet to a marked extent.¹⁹

Oilseed meals :

× , 27

Soyabean meal: Being a rich source of lysine and threenine, soyabean proteins supplement to a marked extent those of wheat^{20,21}, yellow maize²¹ and rye.²⁰

Groundnut meal: Groundnut proteins supplement to a significant extent those of wheat proteins,²⁹ though inferior in this respect to the proteins of soyabean and milk. Groundnut proteins have also been reported to supplement to a significant extent the proteins of oats²³, corn²¹ and rice.²⁴

Cottonseed meal: Cottonseed proteins have been reported to supplement to a

S. VENKAT RÃO AND OTHERS

Foodstuff	Botanical Name	Level of protein in diet	Duration of experiment (days)	PER	Reference No.
<u> </u>	II	III	IV	<u>v</u>	VI
Cereals and millets					
Rice, husked	Oryza sativa	10	28	2.0	19
Rice, milled	Oryza sativa	5	70 70	1.9	55
Wheat, whole	Oryza sativa	6	70	1.8	56 57
Wheat, whole	Triticum vulgare	5 9	70	0 .9 1 .1	57
Wheat, whole, hard spring	Triticum vulgare	5	42	1.7	58
	Triticum vulgare	10	42	1.6	58
Wheat germ	Triticum vulgare	10	42	2.5	59
Buckwheat, whole groats	Triticum vulgare Fago pyrum esculèntum	8	30	2.5	60
• •	Fagopyrum esculentum	13	30	2.1	60
Kaffir corn "	Sorghum vulgare	5	28	0.9	61
Kaffir corn	Sorghum vulgare	8	56	1.2	31
Kaffir corn	Sorghum vulgare	1Ŏ	28	2.0	19
Oats, rolled	Avena sterilis	5	42	2.2	58
Oats, rolled	Avena sterilis	10	42	2.5	58
Pearl millet	Pennisetum ty phoideum	5	28	1.3	61
Pearl millet	Pennisetum ty phoideum	10	56	1.4	62
Pearl millet	Pennisetum typholdeum	10	28	1.8	19
Ragi	Eleucine coracana	5	28	1.0	61
Barley, pearled	Hordeum vulgare	5	42	1.6	58 ·
Barley, meal	Hordeum vulgare	9	30	2.0	50
Rye, whole	Secale cereale	5	42	2,3	58
Rye, whole	Secale cereale	8	42	2.2	58
Rye, whole	Secale cereale	10	42	1,8	58
Italian millet	Setaria italica	10	28	0.8	79
Little millet	Panicum millare	10	28	1.1	79
Corn, whole, white	Zea mays	9	63	1.3	63
Corn, whole, yellow	Zea mays	5	70	0.5	57
Corn, whole, yellow	Zea mays	7	70	0.9	57
Corn, whole, yellow Legumes	Zea mays	8	42	1.2	64
Bengal gram, cooked	Cttest	10	10	1.8	65
Black gram, autoclaved	Cicer arietinum		40	1.6	66
Green gram, cooked	Phaseolus mungo	12 10	28	1.5	19
Horse gram, autoclaved	Phaseolus aureus	12	37	1.7	66
Red gram, cooked	Dolichos biflorus Cajanus cojan	10	28	1.7	19
Lathyrus pea, autoclayed	Lathyrus sativus	12	21	0,4	67
Kidney bean, cooked	Phaseolus vulgaris	10	30	1.5	15
Lima bean, autoclaved	Phaseolus lunatus	10	56	1.3	68
Lentil, cooked	Lens culinaris	10	30	1.2	15
Pea, cooked	Pisum sativum	10	56	1.0	69
Pca, raw (Alaska)	Pisum sativum	10	43	1.3	18
Roots and tubers					
Great Scot potato, cooked		8.5	56	1.2	70
Great Scot ' potato flour		8.5	56	1.2	70
' Lingto-date ' notato se alcal		6.5	56 .	1.0	70
President' potato, cooked 'Up-to-date' potato flour	•	6.5	56	2.0	70
Up-to-date ' potato flour		6.5	× 56	1.0	70
rvuis ana oliseeds					
Groundnut meal (Expeller					P :
pressed)		10	28	1.7	41
Cottonseed meal (Expeller					
pressed)		9	42	2.1	22
Cottonseed meal, cooked		10	42	2.0	·71

Table 11. The protein efficiency ratios of some common food proteins

1	<u> / ·</u>	I1		IV	V -	VI
Soyabean, raw			10	28	0.5	72
Soyabean, heat proces	sed		10	28	2.4	72
Sesame flour			10	28	1.7	41
Sunflower meal	-		10	-28	2.6	41
afflower seed cake			10	56	<u> </u>	73
Coconut meal			10	28	2.0	42
Egg and milk			_			-
Egg, hen			10	28	4.7	74
Milk, cow			10	28	3.3	74
Milk, buffalo			10	28	3.4	75
Ailk, goat	,		10	28	2.9	75
Acat and fish			· –			
Beef			10	30	3.2	76
ork			- 10	30	3.4	76
Chicken			8	42	3.6	77
ish flour (from oil sau	rdine)		10	28	2.9	77 51
east and chlorella	••••					
Food yeast	Torula util is		8	56	1.8	31
Chlorella			10	28	2.2	78

significant extent those of wheat²² and corn.²⁵

Sesame meal: Jaffe^{26,37} conducted a series of rat feeding trials for the biological evaluation of sesame meal alone and in various combinations with corn meal and groundnut meal. On the basis of encouraging results of the rat feeding tests, arepas (local corn breads) were prepared with varying amounts of toasted sesame seed.

Coconut meal: The proteins of coconut have been found to supplement to a marked extent those of rice²⁶ and maize.²⁹

Leaf proteins: Phansalkar et al¹⁹ have reported that amaranth leaf proteins supplement those of cereal and legume proteins, Lucerne proteins supplement the proteins of rice^{29a} and of whole yellow corn.^{29b}

Food yeast: The proteins of food yeast supplement to a significant extent those of wheat,³⁰ corn,³⁰ oats,³⁰ sorghum³¹ and ragi,³¹

Milk: Milk proteins supplement to a highly significant extent those of rice,³⁸ corn,³³ wheat³³ and ragi.³⁴

Meat: Meat proteins have been found to supplement cereal proteins to a highly significant extent.³⁵⁻³⁷

Fish: Fish proteins even when incorporated at a low level supplement to a marked extent those of different cereals.^{38,39}

Supplementary relations between certain oilseed meals and legumes: The proteins of

sesame and sunflower seeds are rich in methionine and tryptophan while those of legumes are partially deficient in these amino acids. Sesame proteins supplement to a marked extent those of soya bean,⁴⁰ groundnut⁴¹ and Bengal gram.⁴¹ The proteins of sunflower seeds supplement to a significant extent those of groundnut⁴¹ and Bengal gram.⁴¹ Coconut proteins have been found to supplement to a significant extent those of Bengal gram⁴² and groundnut proteins,⁴²

Supplementary value of protein-rich foods to deficient diets based on cereals, roots and tubers: A large amount of work has been carried out during recent years on the supplementary value of protein-rich foods and processed protein foods based on blends of protein-rich foods to poor quality diets based on different cereals, roots and tubers.⁴³⁻⁵⁰ Data regarding the amino acid composition and protein efficiency ratio are given in Table IV and the supplementary value of the protein blends to poor diets based on different cereals in Table V. A brief summary of the available data is given below.

Groundnut flour and protein foods based on groundnut flour: The supplementary value of low-fat groundnut flour fortified with calcium salts and vitamins A and D and 4:1 blend of fortified groundnut flour and skim milk powder to deficient diets based on rice or 1:2 blend of maize and tapioca flours has been studied by Tasker

3

S. VENKAT RAO AND OTHERS

<u> </u>	111. Supplementary relati				
Basic protein source	Supplementary protein source	Quantity of total protein in diet provided by supplementary food (%)	Protein content in diet (%)	PER	Refe- rence No,
I	<u></u>		IV	V	¥I.
Cereals and millets					
Barley	Pea	50	10	1.98	18
Italian millet	Cereal alone	<u> </u>	10	0 80	79
Italian millet	Bengal gram and amara	-			
	nth leaf	40	10	2.20	79
Kaffir com	Cercal alone		10	1.61	. 19
Kaffir com	Bengal gram	30	10	1.89	19
Kafir com	Black gram	30	10	1.96	19
Kaffir corn	Green gram	30 30	10 10	1.80 1.84	19 19
Kaffir corn Kaffir corn	Red gram	10	10	1.70	19
Kaffir com	Amaranth leaf Bengal gram and	10	10	1.70	19
Binn will	amaranth leaf	40	10	1.89	19
Kaffir com	Black gram and		10	1.05	
	amaranth leaf	40	10	1.77	19
Kaffir corn	Green gram and				
	amaranth leaf	40	10	1.72	19
Kaffir corn	Red gram and	-			
	amaranth leaf	40	10	1.85	19
Little millet	Cereal alone	-	. 10	1.10	79
Little millet	Bengal gram and		-0		20
B	amaranth leaf	40	10	1.80	79
Maize meal, white	Cereal alone	10	6	0.32	38 36
Maize meal, white	Fish flour	16	6.7	1.31	21
Maize meal, white Maize meal, white	Food yeast Soya flour	16	8 8	1,75 1.66	21
Maize meal, white	Skim mjlk solids	16	8	1.64	21
Maizo meal, white	Groundput meal	16	8	1.21	21
Maize meal, white	Soyabean meal	33 -	ğ	1.76	25
Maize meal, white	Groundnut meal	33	ē	1.46	25
Maize meal, white	Cottonseed meal	33	ģ	1.23	25
Maize meal, white	Pea	50	10	1.78	18
Pearl millet	Cereal alone	<u> </u>	10	1.60	19
Pearl millet	Bengal gram	30	10	2.16	19
Pearl millet	Black gram	30	10	2,10	19
Pearl millet	Green gram	30	10	2.09	19
Pearl millet	Red gram	30	10	2.05	19
Pearl millet	Amaranth leaf	10	10	1.73	19
Pearl millet	Bengal gram and	40	10	0.00 -	19
Pearl millet	amaranth leaf Black gram and	40	10	2.22	1.2
Lean miller	amaranth leaf	40	10	2.04	19
Pearl millet	Green gram and	+0	10	0.VT	. 19
	amaranth leaf	40	10	2,30	19
Pearl millet	Red gram and				
	amaranth leaf	40	' 10 ·	2.25	19
Pearl millet	Coconut cake	· 40	10	1.60	79
Pearl millet	Coconut cake and			• .	
	ICO gram	40	10	2.00	. 79
Ragi	Cercal alone		10	2.00	79
Ragi	Bengal gram and	<i>.</i> -			
	amaranth leaf	40	10	2.10	79
Rice	Cereal alone	~	5	1.32	31
Rice	Food yeast	25	5	1.49	31
Rice	Cercal alone Red gram	80	10	2.09	19
Rice Rice	Amaranth leaf	10	10 10	2,09 2,12	19 . 19

Table III. Supplementary relations between different dietary proteins

.

Mutual supplementation of dietary proteins

I	II	111		IV	v	VI
Rice	Red'gram and amaranth					
	leaf	40		10	2.18	19
Rice	Cereal-alone	—		5	1.54	38
Rice	Fish flour	15		5.9	2.50	38
Rye flour	Cereal alone			6	1.29	39
Rye flour	Fish flour	6		6.4	2.17	- 39
Rye flour	Soyabean flour	62		8	2.43	20
Wheat flour, white	Cereal alone	_		8	0.71	38
Wheat flour, white	Fish flour	8		8.7	1.12	38
Wheat flour, white	Soyabean meal	35		9	2.16	22
Wheat flour, white	Skim milk solids	28		9	1.86	22
Wheat flour, white	Groundnut meal	34		9	1.32	22
Wheat flour, white	Cottonseed meal	32		9	1.29	22
Wbeat, whole	Pea	50		10	1.80	- 18
Wheat, whole	Cereal alone		سدين بير	10	1.30	31
Wheat, whole	Food yeast	25		10	1.80	31
Wheat, whole	Cereal alone	_		10	1 77	-19
Wheat, whole	Bengal gram	30		10	2.18	- 19
Wheat, whole	Black gram	30		10	2.15	- 19
Wheat, whole	Green gram	30		10	3.22	19
Wheat, whole	Red'gram	30	-	10	2.19	19
Wheel whole	Amaranth leaf	30		10	1.65	19
Wheat, whole		00		10	1.00	17
Wheat, whole	Bengal gram and amara- nthileaf	40		10	2.19	19
Wheat, whole	Black gram and amara- nth leaf	40	·	10	2.87	19
Wheat, whole	Green gram and	40		10	2.23	19
	amaranth leaf	40		10		, 19
Wheat, whole	Red'gram and amaranth	40	-	10	2.35	19
	leaf	40 ·		10	2100	13
Legumes and oilseeds				10	1.59	. 42
Bengal gram	_ ~.				.2.34	42
Bengal gram	Coconut meal	50	-	10 -	1.98	
Coconut meal		_	-	10	4	42
Bengal gram	—		- •	10		- 41
Bengal gram	Sesame meal	50		10 -	2.15	41
Bengal gram	Sunflower meal	50	•	10	2,24	41
Sesame meal	_		•••	10		- 41
Sunflower meal	—			10 /	2.57	41
Groundnut meal	-		•	10	1.81	- 42
Groundant meal	Coconut meal	50	· · ·	10	2.28	- 41
Groundant meal	<u> </u>			10	1.65	- 41
Groundaut meal	Sesame meat	50		10	1.99	41
Groundnut meal	Sunflower meal	50	÷ .	10	2.14	. 41
Groundnut meal	Sesame and Bengal gram				-	
	flours	50		10	2.03	41
Soyabean			· · · · ·	10	1.78	40
Soyabean	Sesame	35	,	10	2.17	40
Soyabean milk	Desarite			10	2.12	80
	Serame	33		10	2.70	8
Soyabean milk	Sesame	99		IV		

et al. 43,44 Even though the protein efficiency ratios of groundnut flour and 4: 1 blend of groundnut flour and skim milk powder were lower than that of skim milk powder (Table IV), the two protein foods when incorporated to provide about 5.10% extra protein in the diets, made up the protein deficiency in the diets and promoted good growth in albino rats. Indian multipurpose food (based on 3:1 blend of

. .

low-fat groundnut flour and Bengal gram flour and fortified with calcium salts and essential vitamins) when incorporated at 12.5% level so as to provide 4.0-4.5g of extra protein in the diet, supplemented to a highly significant extent poor Indian diets based on rice, wheat, ragi and sorghum comparing well with American multipurpose food based on soyabean meal.⁴⁵

Amino acids			ĩ	Protein-	rich food	a.		
(g/16gN)	A	B	с	D	E	F	G	H
		10.2	10.2	9.8 '	8.9	5.6	8.6	3.7
Histidine	2.1	2.1	2.5	2.1	2.4	2,8	2.7	2.7
Lysine	3.6	4.4	4.0	4.1	4.9	9.6	б.1	7.9
Leucine	6.9	7.5	6.3	6.5	6.9	7.2	6.8	6.5
soleucine	4.6	5.2	4.4	4.5	4.7	5.2	4.9	10.0
Methionine	1.0	1.4	1.1	1.3	1.1	2.8	1.7	2.5
Cystine	1.6	1,5	1.2	1.6	1.6	1.5	1.6	0.9
Phenylalanine	5,1	5.2	5.1	4.9	5.0	3.8	4.6	4.9
Tyrosiue	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.0	3.4	2.9	3.4	5.2
Threonine	2.7	3.1	2.9	2.9	3.3	5.8	3.9	4.7
Tryptophan	1.0	1.1	0.9	1.0	1.2	1.1	1.1	1.4
Valine	4.4	4.8	4.9	5.0	5.1	5.4	5.3	7.0
PER	1.50	2.36	1.79	1.87	1.99	2.92	2.56	3.0
Reference No.	(51)	(54)	(51)	(46)	(49)	(51)	(51)	(51)

Table IV. Essential amino acid composition and protein efficiency ratio of some protein-rich foods*

* (A) Groundnut flour (B) Protein food based on 4:1 blend of groundnut flour and skim milk powder (C) Indian multipurpose food (3:1 blend of groundnut flour and Bengal gram flour) (D) Protein food based on 2:1:1 blend of groundnut and Bengal gram flours and coconut meal (E) Protein food based on 1:1 blend of groundnut and soya flours (F) Fish flour (from oil sardine) (G) Protein food based on 2:1:1 blend of groundnut flour, Bengal gram flour and fish flour (H) Skim milk powder

Protein food based on groundnut and Bengal gram flours and coconut meal: A protein food based on 2:1:1 blend of groundnut and Bengal gram flours and coconut meal possessed a protein efficiency ratio of 1.9 at 10% level.⁴⁸ When incorporated to provide about 5% extra protein to poor diets based on different cereals and 7.5-15% extra protein to tapiocarice or tapioca maize diets, the protein food made up the protein deficiency in the diet and promoted good growth of rats^{47,48}.

Protein food based on 1:1 blend of groundnut and soya flours: The protein efficiency ratios of protein foods based on 1:1 blend of groundnut flour and soya flour and fortified with methionine and lysine have been reported to be as follows⁴⁹: protein food, 2.0; protein food +methionine, 2.5; and protein food+ methionine+lysine, 2.8. When incorporated to provide 10% extra protein in a low-protein tapioca-maize diet, the protein foods were nearly as effective as skim milk powder in making up the protein deficiency in the diet and in promoting good growth in albino rats.⁵⁰

Fish flour and protein food based on 2:1:1 blend of groundnut flour, Bengal gram flour and fish flour: The protein efficiency ratio of the protein food (based on 2:1:1 blend of groundnut flour, Bengal gram flour and fish flour) was 2.6 as compared with a value of 2.9 obtained for fish flour from oil sardine.51 When incorporated at 10% extra protein level in a low-protein maizetapioca diet, the protein food was as effective as fish flour in making up the protein deficiency in the diet and in promoting good growth of rats.52 Fish flour when incorporated to provide 2.5% extra protein in poor Indian diets based on different cereals made up the deficiencies in the diet and promoted excellent growth comparing well with an equivalent amount of skim milk powder.53

Conclusion

It is evident from the foregoing account that by a judicious combination of oilseed meals and legumes, it is possible to prepare protein blends having high protein efficiency ratio. Incorporation of fish flour will bring about a further improvement in the nutritive value of the proteins in the blend. Several protein foods having low protein efficiency ratios (ranging from 1.5to 2.0) have been found to supplement to a highly significant extent poor diets based on different cereals when incorporated to

ŝ,

Protein supplement and dist	Protein content of diet (%)	Duration of experiment (weeks)	Gain in body weight (g/week)	Reference No.
I	11	111	IV	V
Fortified groundnut flour and 4:1 blend of groundnut flour and skim milk powder				
Rice diet	8.0	8	6.g	4
Rice diet + fortified groundnut flour Rice diet + 4:1 blend of groundnut flour	13.7	8	14.5	43
and skim milk powder	13.7	-		43
Rice diet + skim milk powder	13.7	8	15.9	43
Maize-tapioca diet	5.1	8 8	17.5	4
Maize-tapioca diet + fortified ground-		0	0.6	
nut flour	19.4	8	17.5	44
Maize-tapioca diet + 4:1 blend of				
groundnut flour and skim milk powder	19.4	8	18.6	4
daize-tapioca diet + skim milk powder	19.4	8	19.1	4
ndlan multipurpose food Lice diet		-		
lice diet + Indian MPF	8.1	8	5.0	41
tice diet + American MPF	12.2	8	14.6	4
Vbeat diet	12.4	8	16.1	4! 4!
Vheat diet + Indian MPF	11.4 15.2	8 8	7.8 12.5	4
Vheat diet + American MPF	15.2	8		4
orghum diet	7.4	. 8	13.6 8.4	42
orghum diet + Indian MPF	11.6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	14.0	4
orghum diet + American MPF	11.7	8.	14.4	42
agi diet	6.5	8 -	8.5	4
agi diet + Indian MPF	10.5	. 8	· 13.3	45
lagi diet + American MPF	10.7	š	14.5	45
rotein food containing coconut meal		v	1.10	. –
ice diet	80	8	4.7	81
ice diet + protein food	12.7	8	14.3	81
Wheat diet	11.3	8	10.2	48
/heat diet + protein food	16,2	8	15.9	48
orghum diet	7.6	4	76	48
orghum diet + protein food agi diet	12.4	4	14.6	48
agi diet + protein food	6.6	. 4	5.6	48
faize diet	11.5	4	14.7	48
laize diet + protein food	8.5	8	8.8	48
ice-tapioca diet	13.5	8	14.2	48
ice-tapioca diet + proteinifood	5.8	8	4.1	82 82
laize-tapioca diet	12.9	8	15.0	62 47
laize-tapioca diet + protein food	5.1 19.6	8 8	0.6	47
rotein food based on 1:1 blend of soya	19.0	•	19.0	+,
flour and proundnut flour		-		
iceidiet	8.2	4	3.2	49
ice diet + protein food	12.5	4	15.5	49
ice diet + protein food fortified with methionine				
ice diet + protein food fortified with	12.7	4	15.8	49
methionine and lysine			40.	
ice diet + skim milk powder	12.6	4	18.4	49.
aize-tapioca diet	12.5	4	17.8 -0.1 ⁵	49) 50
aize-tapioca diet + protein food	4.6	8		50 50
arze-tapioca diet? + protein'food forti-	14.3	8	17.5	00
fied with methionine	14.8	8	18.3	50
aize-tapioca diet + protein food forti-	14.0	o	10.0	
fied with methionine and lysine		8	19.9	50
aize-tapioca diet + skim milk powder	14.7			

Table V. Supplementary value of protein-rich foods to poor quality diets based on different cereals, roots and tubers as judged by the growth of rate

٨

I	п	[[]	IV	v
Fish flour (from oil sardine) and protein food containing fish flour				
Rice diet	8.9	8	5.8	53
Rice diet + fish flour	11.3	8	15.1	53
Rice diet + skim milk powder	11.2	8	13.9	53
Wheat diet	12.2	8	7.7	53
Wheat diet + fish flour	14.7	8	15.6	53
	14.6	8	14.5	53
Wheat diet + skim milk powder	10.7	8	7.2	53
Sorghum diet Sorghum diet + fish flour	13.0	8	14.0	53
	13.1	ě	13.6	53
Sorghum diet + skim milk powder	8.8	8	7.8	53
Ragi diet	11.3	š	13.6	53
Ragi diet + fish flour	11.3	8	12.7	53
Ragi diet + skim milk powder	5.6	8	1.8	52
Maize-tapioca diet			19.7	
Maize-tapioca diet + fish flour	14.6	8		52
Maize-tapioca diet + protein food	15.0	8	19.3	52
Maize-tapioca diet + skim milk powder	14.5	8	19. 3	52

provide 5% extra protein in the diet. 45,47 If the protein efficiency ratio is as high as that of fish flour or skim milk powder, incorporation of these foods at a lower level so as to provide 2.5% extra protein has been found to make up the protein deficiency in the diet and promote good growth of rats.⁵³ It is obvious that in the development of protein-rich foods suitable for the treatment and prevention of protein mainutrition in children and other vulnerable sections, the aim should be to have a product with as high a protein efficiency ratio as possible. It should, however, be noted that vegetable protein foods having lower protein efficiency ratio (1.5 to 1.8) than milk proteins and other animal proteins (2.8 to 3.2) can also be effective in making up the protein deficiency in the diet when incorporated in the diet to provide about 5% extra protein.43-50

REFERENCES

- Wilcock, E. G. and Hopkins, F. G. (1906), 1.
- J. Physiol., 35, 88. Osborne, T. B. and Mendel, L. B. (1914), J. Biol. Chem., 17, 325. Rose, W. C. (1938), Physiol. Rev., 18, 109. 2.
- 3.
- Snyderman, S.E., Holt, L.E. (Jr.), Dancis, J., Roitman, E., Boyer, A. and Balis, M. E. (1962), *J. Nutr.*, **78**, 57. Block, R. J. and Mitchell, H. H. (1946),
- 5. Nutr. Abs. Rev., 16, 249.

- Kuppuswamy, S., Srinivasan, M. and Subrahmanyan, V. (1958), Proteins in Foods, Spl. Rep. Ser. No. 33, Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi.
- Harper A. E. (1958), Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 7. 69, 1025.
- Harper, A. E. (1959), J. Nutr., 68, 405. Kumta, V.S. and Harper, A. E. (1960), 9. J. Nutr., 70, 141.
- Patwardhan, V. N. (1962), Nutrition in India, 2nd Ed., published by Indian Journal of Medical Sciences, Bomhay.
- 11. FAO production year book (1958), Vol. 12, FAO, Rome.
- 12. Narayana Rao, M., Kurien, P. P., Swaminathan, M. and Subrahmanyan, V. (1961), Food Science, 10, 161. 13. Liener, I. E. (1962), Amer. J. Clin. Nutr.,
- 11, 281.
- Scrimshaw, N. S. and Bressani, R. (1961), Fed. Proc., 20, Suppl. 7, 80.
 Adolph, W.H., Shammas, E. I. and Halaby, S. H. (1955), Food Res., 20, 31.
- Swaminathan, M. (1937), Indian J. Med. Res. 16. 25, 399.
- Phansalkar, S. V. and Patwardhan, V. N., (1956), Indian J. Med. Res., 44, 1. 17.
- 18.
- Murray, H. C. (1948), J. Nutr., 35, 257. Phansalkar, S. V., Ramachandran, M. and Patwardhan, V. N. (1957), Indian J. Med. 19.

- Res., 45, 611.
 Kon, S. K. and Markuze, Z. (1931), Biochem. J., 25, 1476.
 Sure, B. (1948), J. Nutr., 36, 65.
 Jones, D. B. and Divine, J. P. (1944), J. Nutr., 28, 41.
 Sure, B. B. and Marsis, I. S. C. (1928).
- Smuts, D. B. and Marais, J. S. C. (1938), Onderstepoort J. Vet. Sci., 11, 151. Kuppuswamy, S. and Subrahmanyan, V. (1952), Proc. Soc. Biol. Chem. (India), 10, 28. 23.
- 24.
- Maynard, L. A., Fronda, F. M. and Chen, T.C. (1923), J. Biol. Chem., 55, 145. Jaffe, W. G. (1947), Venezouela Minist. Agr. 25.
- 26. Cir., 16, 17.

- 27. Jaffe, W. G. (1947), Venezouela Minist. Agr. Cir., 30, 15.
- Kuppuswamy, S., Giri, K. V. and Subrah-manyan, V. (1949), Indian J. Med. Res., 28. 37, 41.
- Jones, D. B., Finka, A. J. and Johns, C. O., (1923), J. Agric. Res., 24, 971.
- 29a. Sur, B. K. (1954), Nutritive value of leaves and leafy proteins with special reference to lucerne, Ph. D. Thesis, Nagpur University. 29b. Marais, J. S. C. and Smuts, D. B. (1940),
- Onderstepoort J. Vet. Sci. Animal Ind., 15, 225.
- 30. Sure, B. (1947), J. Amer. Dietet. Assoc., 23, 113.
- Sur, G., Reddy, S., Swaminathan, M. and Subrahmanyan, V. (1954), Bull. cent. Food 31. technol. Res. Inst., 4, 35. Mitra, K. and Verma, S. K. (1947), Indian
- 32. J. Med. Res., 35, 23.
- 33. Sure, B. (1948), J. Nutr., 36, 65,
- 34. Swaminathan, M. (1938), Indian J. Med. Res. 26, 107.
- 35. Mitchell, H. H. and Carman, G. G. (1926), J. Biol. Chem., 68, 183.
- Hoagland, R., Ellis, N. R., Hankins, O. G. and Snider, G. G. (1945), U. S. Dept. Agri. Tech. Bull., 906. 36.
- Mitchell, H. H. and Beadles, J. R. (1937), 37. J. Nutr., 14, 597. Sure, B. (1957), J. Nutr., 61, 547. Sure, B. (1957), J. Nutr., 63, 409.
- 38.
- 39.
- Chang, C. L. and Murray, H. C. (1949), Cereal Chem., 26, 297. 40.
- Tasker, P.K., Joseph, K., Narayana Rao, M., Rajagopalan, R., Sankaran, A. N. and Swaminathan, M. (1960), Ann. Biochem. 41. Exptl. Med., 20, 37.
- 42. Tasker, P.K., Indira, K., Narayana Rao, M., Indiramma, K., Swaminathan, M., Sreeni-vasan, A. and Subrahmanyan, V. (1962), Ann. Biochem. Exptl. Med., 22, 181.
- 43. Tasker, P.K., Narayana Rao, M., Indiramma, K., Swaminathan, M., Sreenivasan, A. and Subrahmanyan, V. (1962), Food Science, 11, 176.
- 44.
- Tasker, P. K., Paul Jayaraj, A., Narayana Rao, M., Indiramma, K., Swaminathan, M., Sreenivasan, A. and Subrahmanyan, V., (1962), Food Science, 11, 181. I
- Kuppuswamy, S., Joseph, K., Narayana Rao, M., Rama Rao, G., Sankaran, A. N. 45. Swaminathan, M. and Subrahmanyan, V., (1957); Food Science, 6, 84.
- 46. Krishnamurthy, K., Tasker, P.K., Indira, K., "Rajagopalan, R., Swaminathan, M. and Subrahmanyan, V. (1958), Ann. Biochem. Exptl. Med., 18, 175.
 47. "Tasker, P. K., Narayana Rao, M., Paul Jugaria A. Indirarma K. Supminathan
- Jayaraj, A., Indiramma, K., Swaminathan, M., Sreenivasan, A. and Subrahmanyan, V. (1962), Indian J. Med. Res., 50, 468.
- Tasker, P. K., Narayana Rao, M. and Swami-inathan, M. (1964), J. Nutr. Dieter. 1, 95.
 Panemangalore, M., Balaji Rao, M., Nara-
- yana Rao, M.; Rajagopalan, R., Chandra-

sekhar, B.S., Swaminathan, M., Sreeni-vasan, A. and Subrahmanyan, V. (1964), J. Nutr. Dietet., 1, 4 49a. Panemangalore, M., Venkat Rao, S., Nara-

- yana Rao, M. and Swaminathan, M. (1964),
- J. Nutr. Dietet. (In press). Venkat Rao, S., Daniel, V. A., Panemanga-50. lore, M., Tasker, P. K., Paul Jayaraj, A., Acharya, U. S. V., Parthasarathy, L. and Narayana Rao, M. (1964), J. Nutr. Dietet., 1, 8.
- 51. Shurpalekar, S. R., Moorjani, M. N., Lahiry, N.L., Indiramma, K., Swaminathan, M., Sreenivasan, A. and Subrahmanyan, V. (1962), Food Science, 11, 42.
- 52 Shurpalekar, S.R., Paul Jayaraj, A., Moorjani, M.N., Lahiry, N. L., Sankaran, A.N., Swaminathan, M., Sreenivasan, A. and Subrahmanyan, V. (1962), Food Selence, 11, 52.
- Shurpalekar, S. R., Joseph, A.A., Moorjani, M. N., Labiry, N. L., Indiramma, K., Swaminathan, M., Sreeniyasan, A. and 53. Subrahmanyan, V. (1962). Food Science, I. 49.
- Tasker, P.K., Joseph, A.A., Ananthaswamy, H. N., Indiramma, K., Narayana Rao. M., Swaminathan, M., Sreenivasan, A., and **34**. Subrah.nanyan, V. (1962), Food Science, II, 173.
- 55. Sure, B. (1955), J. Amer. Dietet. Assoc., 31, 1232.
- 56. Kik, M. C. (1954), J. Agr. Food. Chem., 2, 1179.
- 57. Sure, B. (1955), J. Agr. Food. Chem., 3, 789.
- Jones, D. B., Caldwell, A. and K. D., (1948), J. Nutr., 35, 639. 58. Widness, 59.
- Jones, D. B. and Widness, K. D. (1946), J. Nutr., 31, 675. Markuze, Z. (1937), Biochem. J., 31, 1973.
- 60.
- 61. Swaminathan, M. (1937), Indian J. Med. Res., 25, 57.
- Rama Rao, G., Murthy, H.B.N. and Swami-nathan, M. (1953), Bull. cent. Food technol. 62.
- Res. 18st., 3, 44. Boas-Fixsen, M. A., Hutchinson, J. C. D. and Jackson, H. M. (1934), Biochem. J., 28, 63. 592.
- 64.
- Sure, B. (1953), J. Agri. Food Chem., 1, 626. Russell, W. C., Taylor, M. W., Mchrhof, T. G. and Hirsch, R. R. (1946), J. Nutr., 65. 32, 313.
- Hirwe, R. and Magar, N.G. (1953), Indian J. 66, Med. Res., 41, 191.
- 67. Esh, G. C. and Som. J. M. (1952), Indian J. Physiol. and All. Sci., 6, 61.
- Everson, G. and Heckert, A. (1944), J. Amer. 68.
- Dietet. Assoc., 20, 81. Beeson, W. M., Lehrer, W. P. and Woods, E. (1947), J. Nutr., 34, 587. Joseph, A. A., Roy Choudhuri, R. N., Swami-69.
- 70. Joseph, A. A., Roy Choudhuri, R. N., Indiramma, K., Narayana Rao, M., Swaminathan, M., Srcenivasan, A. and Subrah-manyan, V. (1963), Food Science, 12, 255.
- 71. Horn, M. J., Blum, A, E., Womack, M. and Gersdorff, C.B.F. (1952), J. Nutr., 48, 231.

- 72. Shurpalekar, S. R., Korula, S., Rajagopal Rao, D., Chandrasekhara, M.R., Sankaran, A. N., Swaminathan, M., Sreenivasan, A. and Subrahmanyan, V. (1963), Ann. Biochem.
- Exptl. Med., 23, 345.
 73. Narayana Rao, M., Swaminathan, M. and Subrahmanyan, V. (1954), Buil. cent. Food technol. Res. Inst., 3, 158.
- Venkat Rao. S., Daniel, V.A., Joseph, A.A., Narayana Rao, M., Rajalakshmi, D., Swaminathan, M., Sreenivasan, A. and Subrahmanyan, V. (1963), Food Science, 12, 74. 163.
- 75. Shurpalekar, S. R., Chandrasekhara, M.R., Swaminathan, M., Sreenivasan, A. and Suhrahmanyan, V. (1964), J. Nutr. Dietet. 1.25.

- Hoagland, R. and Snider, G. G. (1946), Food Res., 11, 494.
 Millares, R. and Fellers, C. R. (1948), J.

- Millares, R. and Fellers, C. R. (1948), J. Amer. Dietet. Assoc., 24, 1057.
 Lubitz, J. A. (1963), J. Food Sci., 28, 229.
 Patwardhan, V. N. and Ramachandran, M. (1960), Sci. and Cult., 25, 401.
 Shurpalekar, S. R., Chandrasekhara, M. R. Lahiry, N. L., Swaminathan, M., Indira-mma, K. and Subrahmanyan, V. (1961), Ann. Biochem, Expti. Med., 21, 143.
 Tasker, P. K., Krishnamurthy, K., Raja-gopalan, R., Swaminathan, M. and Subrah-manyan, V. (1960), Food Science, 9, 85.
 Tasker, P. K., Indira, K., Narayana Rao, M., Indiramma, K., Swaminathan, M. and Subrahmanyan, V. (1960), Ann. Biochem. Exptl. Med., 21, 17.